

**From:** Sina Zevari [<mailto:Sina.Zevari@Ablawyers.com.au>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, 17 January 2018 5:05 PM

**To:** Chambers - Hatcher VP

**Cc:** 'KEMP, James'; 'Stephen.bull@unitedvoice.org.au'; Leigh Svendsen; 'fogarty@denmanchambers.com.au'; 'Kairsty Wilson'; 'cainpaul@icloud.com'; 'craig.rawson@ags.gov.au'; 'abigail.cooper@ashurst.com'; Nigel Ward; 'chrisc@greenacres.net.au'; 'MarkW@greenacres.net.au'; 'pfrench@disabilitylaw.org.au'; 'samanthaf@pwd.org.au'; 'Anthony.rohr@maiwel.com.au'; 'Smith\_c1@optusnet.com.au'; 'Steve.burgess@flagstaffgroup.com.au'; 'Roy.rogers@flagstaffgroup.com.au'; 'lmooney@dsa.org.au'; 'mlcinitaly@gmail.com'; 'mjbuck2@telstra.com'; 'estelleshields@hotmail.com'; 'hdickens@dsa.org.au'; 'kerrie.langford@nds.org.au'; 'bree.willsmore@dss.gov.au'; 'johnharvey@greenacres.net.au'; 'marywalsh6@bigpond.com'; 'mpatrick@disabilitylaw.org.au'; 'Skillsmaster275@outlook.com'; 'cnewbold@actu.org.au'; 'Rowena.Freeland@dss.gov.au'; Joe Murphy; 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; 'Chris.D'SOUZA@dss.gov.au'; Emily Slaytor; 'Paul Musso ([paul.musso@nds.org.au](mailto:paul.musso@nds.org.au))'; AMOD; 'Noni Lord'; 'Claire Bratney'; 'jzadel@hwle.com.au'; 'sryan@hwle.com.au'

**Subject:** RE: AM2014/286 - Site Inspection Proposal [ABLAW-ImanageDocs.FID135807]

Dear Associate

I appear to have been inadvertently removed from the distribution list on this and some other recent emails in this matter, however the below email and [attached letter](#) have been brought to my attention by way of my colleagues. I have also copied in some other ADE representatives.

We strongly oppose the most recent AED proposal, on the following grounds:

- Firstly, Wise Employment do not identify as a Disability Enterprise, but identify as a social enterprise. A focus group or meeting with Wise will accordingly hold no value in terms of allowing the Full Bench to understand evidence filed in these proceedings pertaining to the SESA; and
- Secondly, AED and parties supporting AED have been on notice for many months about site inspections forming part of the hearing of this matter, and have had ample opportunity and extensions of time to make arrangements for inspections of sites utilising the SWS, but have not done so. It can be reasonably inferred from the language of AED's letter that their proposal to convene a focus group or meeting appears to simply be an attempt to remedy an evidentiary gap, and accordingly should not be accepted.

Finally, we note that DSA, whose Mascot site has been flagged for a site inspection, employs a number of supported employees who are on the SWS. Accordingly, we respectfully propose that the Mascot inspection will provide an opportunity for the Full Bench to better understand the evidence filed in these proceeds insofar as it pertains to the SWS.

Kind regards

**Sina Zevari**

Senior Associate | Accredited Specialist – Employment & Industrial Law

Australian Business Lawyers & Advisors

140 Arthur Street North Sydney NSW 2060

Dir: +612 9458 7802 | Fax: +612 9954 5029 | Mob: 0427 419 067

Tel: +612 9458 7005 | Web: [www.ablawyers.com.au](http://www.ablawyers.com.au) | [LinkedIn](#)