

## SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

**This updated summary of submissions reflects the outcome of the conference held on 12 September 2017.**

This table is a summary of submissions lodged on or before 5.00pm on 8 September 2017.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
8 (part)	Full Bench to determine	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Table 1 reference to clause <del>26.3</del> 28.3 is incorrect and should refer to clause <del>26.3(a)</del> 28.3(a) the facilitative provision relating to time off instead of payment for overtime.	Para 2.2	Reference not updated.  Entire subclauses are intended to be facilitative.
	Full Bench to determine	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Table 1 reference to clause <del>28.9</del> 30.9 is inaccurate and should refer to clause <del>28.9(a)</del> 30.9(a) relating to annual leave in advance.	Para 2.4	Reference not updated. Entire subclause intended to be facilitative. <a href="#">[2015] FWCFB 3406</a> at PN [411].
	Full Bench to determine	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Table 1 reference to clause <del>28.10</del> 30.10 is inaccurate and should refer to clause <del>28.10(e)</del> 30.10(c) the facilitative provision relating to cashing out of annual leave.	Para 2.5	Reference not updated. Entire subclause intended to be facilitative. <a href="#">[2015] FWCFB 3406</a> at PN [266].
4 (part)	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-06/09/17</a>	CI 2	Submits PLED definition excludes a casino gaming employee from ‘appropriate level of training’ definition, but current award does not. Submits exclusion is significant and absence of clear definition of appropriate level of training will impact classifications and wage levels.	Para 6	
	UV are asked to clarify their position by 22/9/17	United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	CI 2	Reserves its position on the “appropriate level of training” matter.	Para 9	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
7	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Current Cl 3	<b>Definitions – current definition ordinary hourly rate</b> Current “ordinary hourly rate” definition should be retained.	Para 11	<b>DC:</b> The effect of the AHA submission is to omit “plus any all purpose allowances to which the employee is entitled”  For further discussion.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Opposes AHA’s submission. Exposure draft definition of ordinary hourly rate is consistent with Full Bench <a href="#">July 2015 Decision</a> and <a href="#">September 2015 Decision</a> .	Paras 10-11	
12	Outstanding	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 10.1	<b>Part-time employment</b> Draft clause 10.1 doesn’t fully reflect the wording in the current clause 12.2 as it doesn’t provide the indicia of a part-time employee.  Current cl 12.2(c) states a part-time employee receives a pro rata equivalent of pay and conditions available to those of full-time employees who do the same kind of work. This indicium has not been reproduced.	Para 3.1	<b>DC:</b> Clauses 10.3 and 10.4 deal with this.
14	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.1	<b>Casual employment</b> Draft clause should be removed because it alters the intention of casual employment.	Para 13	<b>DC:</b> Request that AHA explains how clause 11.1 has altered the intention of casual employment.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Submits current award provides a casual employee is an employee who is engaged as such, confirming casual employment is a genuine option which is practical for the hospitality industry. Submits PLED changes this intention by suggesting casual	Paras 7 – 11	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					employment is only possible where the employment does not meet definition of a full-time or part-time employee. Submits this intention is not necessary.		
15	Outstanding	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.1	<b>Casual employment</b> Current provisions in clause 3.1 should be retained at draft clause 11.1.	Para 4.1	<b>DC:</b> Presumably the reference should be to clause 13.1. There is no advantage in saying that a casual employee is an employee engaged as such. It leaves open that an employee could be engaged other than as full-time, part-time or casual.  The PLED makes it clear that if an employer is not engaging an employee as a full-time or part-time employee, the employer is engaging the employee as a casual employee.  For further discussion.
		Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Submits that currently, a casual must be specifically engaged as such, and PLED modifies this. Submits under PLED, an employee will only be casual if they are not full-time or part-time under award. Submits PLED no longer makes clear who a casual employee is, requiring comparison of circumstances against two other clauses.  Disagrees with drafter's 'no advantage' comment. Submits current award provides for three distinct, exhaustive types of employment and an employee cannot be engaged other than in one of those types.	Para 4.2  Para 4.3	
17	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.2	<b>Casual Employment</b> Current casual employment clause 13.1 (instead of clause 11.1 and 11.2) should be retained because it provides clarification to the compensation of the 25% casual loading.	Para 13	<b>DC:</b> The Note explains the reason for the loading. It is sufficient that the requirement to pay the loading be in a substantive provision.  For further discussion.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					The Note in draft clause 11.2 does not provide this clarity.		
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.2	Agrees with AHA’s submission – current clause 13.1 is preferable to draft clause 11.2	Para 13	
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 11.2	Notes PLED has not been amended and continues to appear in same form as the ED dated 27 April. Presses submission that current award clause should be retained.	Paras 28 – 31	
19	Outstanding UV haven’t said whether they agree with the proposal. PN226 Transcript	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.4	<b>Casual Employment</b> Draft provision should be simplified to be “A casual employee must be paid at the termination of each engagement, or otherwise in accordance with clause.”	Para 13	<b>DC:</b> A Note could be inserted after the clause as follows: “NOTE: Under clause <del>21.1</del> 23.1 the employer and an individual casual employee may agree to a weekly or fortnightly pay period.”
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.4	Disagrees with AHA’s submission proposal to remove the reference to agreement from draft clause 11.4.	Para 14	For further discussion.
20	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 12.3	<b>Apprentices</b> The current apprentices’ clause should be retained instead of cl 12.3.	Para 14	<b>DC:</b> Request that both AHA and United Voice explain why current clause 14.4 is preferable to the draft clause 12.3.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 12.3	Agrees with AHA that the current clause 14.4 is preferable to draft clause 12.3.	Para 15	For further discussion.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl.12.3	Redrafted clause narrows focus of apprenticeships to full time work. Submits apprenticeships are not always full-time, some are part time. Submits both clauses have similar effect but reference to full time in redrafted 12.3 assumes part time apprenticeships do not exist. Submits	Page 1	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					issue can be fixed by deleting reference to full time employment.		
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	CI 12.3	Submits clause 12.3 does not specifically consider that an apprentice may be part-time, in which case the part-time provisions of the award would apply. Notes PLED cl 12.3 limits apprentices to full-time employment.	Para 12	
22	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	CI 12.8(b)	<b>Apprentices – Block release training</b> Omitting the word “excess” found in current clauses 14.5 and 14.6 alters the intent and interpretation of the clause.	Para 16	<b>DC:</b> The word “excess” is not necessary given that the clause is redrafted on the assumption that in the current clause 14.6 the expression “which exceed those incurred in travelling to and from work” only governs “reasonable expenses incurred while travelling, including meals”.  See clause 12.8(d)(iii) of the PLED.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 12.8(b)	Presses this submission.	Para 33	
28	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl.15.2	Wording found in current clause 29.3(a) should be retained. By omitting the word “catering” before the text “employers providing catering...” in the draft alters the intent, interpretation, application of the clause.	Para 21	<b>DC:</b> The current award does not define “catering employers”.  If the meaning of the term is as suggested by the AHA, a definition of “catering employer” should be included as follows: “catering employer’ means an employer whose primary business is to provide catering
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Agrees with AHA’s submission that draft clause 15.2(a) expands the	Para 19	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					application of the provision and that the current award wording should be retained.		services”. This is also relevant to clause <del>24.11</del> 26.11.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates its earlier concern.	Paras 34 – 35	
29	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.2(i)	Wording found in current clause 29.3(f) should be retained because the words “other than rostered days off” alter the intent and interpretation of the clause.	Para 22	<b>DC:</b> The additional words are intended to clarify that employee who has accrued an entitlement to a rostered day off is entitled to be paid for that day.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.2(i)	Agrees with AHA’s submission that words ‘other than rostered days off’ should be deleted from clause 15.2(i).	Para 20	For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 15.2(i)	Continues to press earlier submission.	Paras 36 – 37	
30	Outstanding – FWC to research further	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.2	<b>Catering in remote locations</b> Neither the Exposure Draft nor the Current Award has a definition of ‘remote location’ for the purpose of clause 15.2(a).	Para 5.2	<b>DC:</b> Request that Business SA suggests a definition of “remote location”.
		Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Unable to propose definition at this stage. Undertaking research into history and context of provision. Unprepared to propose a definition without benefit of this research.	Para 5.2	For further discussion.
33 (part)	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		In relation to clause 16.6, submits PLED drafting alters calculation of payment for an unpaid break not taken. Submits current award provides additional payment to an employee when an unpaid break has not been taken is based on ordinary	Para 14  Paras 15 – 19	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					hourly rate. Submits PLED provides payment is at 150% of ordinary hourly rate. Submits this results in a higher payment to the detriment of employers.		
	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Notes clauses 16.4 and 16.5 have failed to reflect existing provisions. Restates its position that table 2 and clauses 16.4 and 16.5 should be amended.	Paras 38 – 41	
34	Outstanding  – additional submission that the request must be in writing.  PN 388 of transcript	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 16	<b>Breaks</b> Current provisions should be retained because of the substantive changes in the draft clause 16.	Para 6	<b>DC:</b> On reviewing the draft in the light of Business SA’s general comment, it is agreed that the draft gives an entitlement to an unpaid meal break where the shift is up to 6 hours whereas the current award, despite some language difficulties, would seem to only allow the employee to request a 30 minute unpaid meal break which the employer must not unreasonably refuse. This could be fixed by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amending clause 16.1 so that it reads “Clause 16 deals with meal breaks and rest breaks and gives an employee an entitlement to them in specified circumstances.”</li> <li>• Inserting after clause 16.1 a new clause 16.2 as follows: “An employee who works a shift of more than 5 hours and up to 6 hours may, no later than the start of the shift, request to take an unpaid meal break during the shift of up to 30 minutes. The</li> </ul>

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
							<p>employer must not unreasonably refuse the request. The request applies to all such shifts worked by the employee unless otherwise agreed between the employee and the employer. An arrangement under clause 16.2 may be reviewed at any time.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In Table 2, the first entry should be deleted.</li> <li>• Delete existing clauses 16.4 and 16.5.</li> </ul>
35	Outstanding - Further submissions requested	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 16	<p><b>Breaks</b> Qualifying words regarding breaks at current clauses 31.1 and 31.2 have been omitted which potentially changes the legal effect of the provision.</p>	Paras 6.1 and 6.2	<p><b>DC:</b> In response to Business SA’s comments, it is suggested that “Unpaid meal break of up to 30 minutes” be substituted in column 2 in relation to a shift of more than 5 and up to 6 hours.</p> <p>However, where an employee is being given an entitlement, the words “at least” are not appropriate.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
39	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 19.1(a) & 19.2(a)	<p><b>Apprentice rates – Tables 7 and 8</b> Reference to weekly rates only does not adequately take into account the employment of part time apprentices.</p>	Para 26	<p><b>DC:</b> Clause 19 reflects the terms of the current clause 20.4 in referring to weekly rates only.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		<p>Restates earlier submission that clauses should make it clear that clauses and rates in tables do not apply to adult apprentices.</p>	Paras 42 – 43	
40	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 19	<p><b>Apprentice rates</b> Clause should specify that it does not</p>	Para 27	<p><b>DC:</b> The issue raised could be dealt with by including the expression</p>



ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					cover adult apprentices as provided in clause 19.5.		“(other than an adult apprentice)” after “apprentice” in clauses 19.1(a) and 19.2(a) and where first occurring in clauses 19.3 and 19.4.  For further discussion.
41	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 19.1(b)	The words “as a qualified trades person” should be included after the word “apprenticeship” for consistency with clause 19.2(b).	Para 28	<b>DC:</b> The wording reflects current clause 20.4(a)(i)  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses submission.	Para 44	
43	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 19.3 and 19.4	The significant rewording of clause 19.3 and 19.4 alters the intention and interpretation of the clause.	Para 29	<b>DC:</b> Request that the AHA explain the basis for its concern.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Submits current award provides for proficiency payments where an apprentice has achieved necessary standard, but PLED does not adequately reflect this. Notes PLED clauses do not reference achievement of proficiency other than in the title.  Submits PLED wording provides higher payment results from ‘completed their schooling for a year’. Submits omission of the application of the proficiency payments sub clause alters eligibility for payment.	Paras 19 – 20	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
46	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.5</del> 23.5	The words “if they so desire” should be retained in the draft.	Para 33	<b>DC:</b> It is not necessary to include the words “if they so desire” as the clause is drafted in terms of an entitlement and not an obligation as current clause 26.5 is. For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses submission.	Para 45	
47	Outstanding – to be dealt with by Annualised Salary Full Bench	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl.24.1 and 24.5	<p><b>Annualised salary arrangements</b> The inclusion of “other than casual employees” clarifies the existing interpretation of the annualised salary arrangements.</p> <p>Wording in current clause 27.1(b)(ii) provides reference to penalty rates and overtime and should be retained.</p> <p>Reference to penalty rate and overtime In draft clause 24.5 should include reference to the corresponding clause numbers.</p>	Paras 34 – 35	<p>Clause updated.</p> <p><b>DC:</b> Accepted. In clause 24.5 the expression “the requirements of this award under clause 28—Overtime and clause 29—Penalty rates” is substituted for “this award in relation to penalty rates and overtime”.</p>
48	Outstanding – to be dealt with by Annualised Salary Full Bench	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 24.2	<p><b>Annualised Salary Arrangements</b> The words “an agreement must be one that is genuinely made without coercion or duress” should be removed because it changes the legal effect of the clause.</p>	Para 8.1	<p><b>DC:</b> Issue is opposed.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
49	Outstanding – to be dealt with by Annualised Salary Full Bench	United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 24	<p>Disagrees with ABI and NSWBC because the insertion of the draft provision wording would assist the likely reader.</p> <p>The new words simply express what is implied by the words ‘by</p>	Paras 23 – 24	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					agreement' in current clause 27.1		
51	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>23.2(g)</del> 25.2(g)	The word “loading” should be inserted after the word “leave” in clause <del>23.2(g)</del> 25.2(g) to provide clarification.	Para 37	<b>DC:</b> The suggestion is inconsistent with the move away from the term “loading”.  For further discussion.
53	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.6(a)	<b>Special Clothing allowance</b> The wording “any article of” potentially broadens the definition of special clothing.  The wording “easily obtainable”, “dinner suit or evening dress” and “formal clothing” alters the intent and interpretation of the provision.	Paras 39 – 40	<b>DC:</b> It is not clear how the inclusion of the words “any article of” broadens the definition. However, on reviewing the clause, for consistency with clause 26.6(e), it is suggested that in clause 26.6(a) the word “item” should be substituted for “article”.  It is further suggested that in clause 26.6(a), “black and white attire (other than a dinner suit or evening dress)” should be substituted for “easily obtainable black and white clothing”.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates its concerns expressed in earlier submission.	Paras 46 – 48	
56	Outstanding	United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.10(e)</del> 26.10(c)	<b>Allowances – Working away from usual place allowance</b> This is an objectionable and unreasonable term that contravenes legislation because it permits employers to deduct a sum from an employee’s pay which was incurred by the employee at the employer’s direction because the working relationship ended within an arbitrary period of time.	Paras 12 – 23	<b>DC:</b> Noted.  For further discussion.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					<p>Modern awards must only include terms permitted by s136 of the Act and may include terms under Part 2-3, Division 3, Subdivision B. Draft clause 24.10(c) is not a term that must be included or may be included. The section makes no provision for terms that create liabilities for the employee to the employer. FWC does not have the power to include a term such as draft clause 24.10(c) in a modern award.</p> <p>Regulation 2.12 of FW Regs lists a number of circumstances in which a deduction is reasonable – recovery of fares paid to the employee is not one of those.</p>		
		ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>		<b>Working away from Usual Place of Work</b> Reserves position whether cl. 24.10(e) <b>26.10(c)</b> may need to be considered in the context of ss.151 and 326.	Para 9.1	
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>		Reserves its position to discuss this clause at a later stage.	Penultimate paragraph	
		Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Reserves its position.	Para 10.1	
59	Outstanding	United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.13</del> <b>26.13</b>	<b>Allowances in Table 9 of cl. <del>24.13</del> 26.13</b> These allowances are all purposes allowances as it is “to be treated as part of the wage rate for all award payment calculations.”	Paras 26 – 27	<b>DC:</b> Noted.  For further discussion.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
60	Outstanding	United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.13</del> 26.13	<b>Award airport catering supervisory allowance</b> Current wording should be retained because application of the draft allowance is restricted to “airport catering employees”. This clause should also be included in the list of all purpose allowances.	Para 28	<b>DC:</b> Noted.  For further discussion.
61	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.14</del> 26.14	<b>Allowances – split shift</b> The AHA notes that the ED has replaced the existing phrase “Broken Periods of Work” with the phrase “ <i>Split Shift Allowance</i> ”. While there is no specific objection to this change, the AHA does query whether it is necessary, as it may lead to reader confusion.	Para 46	<b>DC:</b> Given that clause <del>24.14</del> 26.14 provides for the payment of an allowance, the term “split shift allowance” is appropriate.
63	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>26.1</del> 28.1	<b>Overtime</b> Intent of current award to exclude casuals is not clear.	Para 47	<b>DC:</b> The issue raised could be addressed by inserting in clause <del>26.1</del> 28.1 a new paragraph (a) as follows: “Clause <del>26.1</del> 28.1 does not apply to a casual employee.”  For further discussion.
67A	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 30.2(a)	<b>Annual Leave – Shiftworkers</b> New definition of shiftworker has altered the interpretation of the definition of shiftworker as it appears in current award. Submits more employees will be viewed as a shiftworker for the purposes of extra annual leave entitlement.	Para 26	
68	Resolved	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 30.5(a)	<b>Annual Leave</b> The word “functions” is relevant for	Para 51	Clause updated

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					correctly determining the application of that provision. Current clause 34.4 includes the word “functions”. It should be retained and inserted after the word “catering”.		DC: Accepted.
	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Notes clause has been updated but submits the words ‘at or’ should be inserted after the words ‘clause 30.5 applies to an employee who is employed’.	Para 52	
69	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 30.5	References to “unpaid leave” should be replaced with the original term of <i>leave without pay</i> .	Para 52	DC: Clause 30.5 refers throughout to “leave without pay” and defines the term “unpaid leave period” as the period for which leave without pay is to be taken.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 53	
71	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 33.3 36.3 and Cl. 33.4 36.4	<b>Deductions for provision of employee accommodation and meals</b> Draft clauses should reflect that the value of the deduction is applied per meal provided to the employee, not per week.	Para 53	DC: The PLED reflects the current award.  For further discussion.
73	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A	Wage levels in brackets should be included to meet the intention of the plain language re-drafting.	Para 55.	DC: The purpose of Schedule A is to define the classification terms which are used in Table 3 and where wage levels are assigned. It seems unnecessary to include wage levels as part of the defined term.  It is suggested that consideration be

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
							given to inserting a further Note to A.1 stating that clause 18 sets out minimum rates for each classification.  For further discussion.
77	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.2	The words “or who has the appropriate level of training” should not be included in the draft Cook grade 3 (tradesperson), Cook grade 4 (tradesperson) and Cook grade 5 (tradesperson) definitions.	Para 59	<b>DC:</b> The expression “or who has the appropriate level of training” could be omitted from A.2.2(f), (g) and (h) and in paragraph (h) the words “has completed additional appropriate training and ” could be inserted after “and who”.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 55	
84	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B B.1.1	The existing “Ordinary Hourly Rate” definition should be retained.	Para 67	<b>DC:</b> The definition in Schedule B reflects that in clause 2.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 57	
85	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B B.1.1	Schedule B.1.1 Note 1 and its unidentified all-purpose allowances reference could be confusing.	Para 68	<b>DC:</b> If the definition of “ordinary hourly rate” is to be amended to exclude all purpose allowances then the Note should be amended to omit “forms part of the employee’s ordinary hourly rate and”.  Otherwise the Note is helpful and should be retained.  For further discussion.
86	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B	The term “general” in “general	Para 69	<b>DC:</b> It is suggested that a Note be

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				B.2	employees” reference should not be included.		inserted at the beginning of Schedule B stating that references to general employees are to employees other than Managerial staff (Hotels) employees and casino gaming employees.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 58	
91	Outstanding  Further submissions requested	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule D	The words “or contract of training” should be reinserted after “training agreement” in Sched D.2 and Sched D.6 to recognise the varied states and territories descriptions of training arrangements.	Para 76	<b>DC:</b> This suggestion is appropriate if there are jurisdictions that still refer to a “contract of training” and not a “training agreement”.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 61	
93	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule D	Wording in current Schedule G.12 should be wholly retained in the draft Schedule D.	Para 78	<b>DC:</b> It is to be noted that the term defined by current Schedule G.12 is not used in current Schedule G.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 62	
95	Outstanding	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	General	General variations sought in its submission of <a href="#">13 October 2016</a> should be considered prior to the finalisation of the plain language exposure draft because of the potential impact on clauses being re-written.	Para 81	



ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
5	Resolved. Award updated.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	<b>Definitions – catering by a restaurant business</b> “Catering by a restaurant business” definition has been removed despite the term still being utilised in the coverage provisions. The definition should be reinstated.	Para 2.1	<b>DC:</b> Suggest that, rather than at clause 2 insert a definition of “catering by a restaurant business”, at clause 4.4(d)(vi) substitute the words “catering services provided by a restaurant as an incidental business;”
		Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	The deleted wording “catering by a restaurant business” in clause 2 should be retained because it is still referred to in clause 4 Coverage.	Para 1.3	For further discussion.
6	Resolved. Award updated.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	<b>Definitions – Resort</b> “Resort” definition should reinstate the words “and includes an offshore island resort”.	Para 2.2	Resort definition updated.  Given the history of decision not to make a separate offshore island resort, words re-inserted for consistency.
		Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	<b>Definitions – Resort</b> Current wording that resorts “includes an offshore island resort” should be retained.	Paras 1.1–1.3	See <a href="#">[2009] AIRCFB 450</a> paragraphs 135 – 142 and <a href="#">[2009] AIRCFB 826</a> paragraphs 167 – 168.
8 (part)	Resolved. Award updated.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 7	<b>Facilitative provisions for flexible working practices</b> Table 1 should refer to clause <del>21.2</del> <b>23.2</b> the facilitative provision relating to payment of wages.	Paras 2.1 and 9.1	Table 1 updated.  <b>DC:</b> Agreed. Also substitute “the majority” for “a majority”.  Text of clause 23.2 amended to reflect wording in table.
	Resolved. Award updated.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Table 1 should refer to the facilitative provision in clause <del>27.4(e)</del> <b>29.4(c)</b> which allows an employer and an individual employee to change the remuneration method for work on public holidays.	Para 2.3 (and 12.1)	Table 1 updated. <b>DC:</b> Agreed.
	Resolved.	Business	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>		Table 1 should refer to the facilitative	Para 2.6 (and	Table 1 updated.

	Award updated.	SA			provision in clause <del>31.2</del> 34.2 which allows an employer and a majority of employees at a workplace to agree to substitute another day for a public holiday.	14.1)	<p><b>DC:</b> Agreed. Also substitute “the majority” for “a majority”.</p> <p>Text of clause amended to reflect the words in table.</p>
9	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 7	<p><b>Facilitative Provisions of Flexible Working Practices</b></p> <p>Not all clauses that contain facilitative provisions have been included in Table 1. Example: clauses <del>27.4(e)</del> 29.4(c) and 32.1 (<del>31.2</del> 34.2).</p>	Para 12	<p><b>DC:</b> See amendment to Table 1 as above.</p>
10	Resolved. Award updated.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 9	<p><b>Full-time employees</b></p> <p>Proposes a redraft of subclauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii), which apply subclauses 15.1(d) and 15.1(e) to subclauses 15.1(b)(v) and (vi) in accordance with the plain language principles but in a clearer manner.</p>	Para 5.1	<p><b>DC:</b> Suggest amending draft clause 15.1 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• deleting paragraph (c)(vi) and (vii); and</li> <li>• substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (d) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 152 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off as set out in paragraph (b)(v) must satisfy the following conditions”; and</li> <li>• substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (e) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 160 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off plus one rostered day off as set out in paragraph (b)(vi) must satisfy the following conditions”;</li> </ul>

11	Resolved. Award updated.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 9	<b>Full-time employment</b> The wording “in accordance with an agreed hours of work arrangement” should be removed due to the commonality of an award referring to an average number of hours to be worked without specifying an averaging period.	Paras 3.1 – 3.4	<b>DC:</b> Under clause 15.1 the employer and a full-time employee must agree on a work arrangement.  No objection to omitting the words “in accordance with an agreed hours of work arrangement” and amending the Note so that it reads “Clause 15.1 sets out work arrangement options for working the required average of 38 ordinary hours per week.”
	Resolved/ on the basis of the amendment to the NOTE	United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 9	<b>Full-time employment</b> Opposes the removal of ‘in accordance with an agreed hours of work arrangement’ The ED wording better explains full-time employment characteristics. The draft provision may be improved by referring to clause 15.1(b)	Para 12	This issue is opposed.
13	Resolved. Award updated.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 10.10	<b>Part-time employment</b> Clause is better located as a new clause 10.8 because it relates to written agreements or variations to a part-time employees pattern of work.	Para 4.1	Subclause moved.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted.
23	Resolved. Award updated as per item 10.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii)	<b>Ordinary hours of work</b> Proposes to include a small note or wording in brackets as to which averaging arrangement applies in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 17	<b>DC:</b> Suggest amending clause 15.1 to indicate more clearly the conditions applicable to the options mentioned in clause 15.1(b)(v) and (vi).  For further discussion.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl.15.1(c)(vi)-(vii)	Opposes AHA’s proposal.	Para 17	
24	Resolved. Award updated as per item 10.	ABI and NSWBC	<a href="#">Sub-09/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii)	<b>Ordinary hours of work. Full-time employees</b> Draft clauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii) are cumbersome and unwieldy. Request that the provisions be drafted in accordance with the PL principles but in a clearer manner.	Para 5.1	<b>DC:</b> Suggest amending clause 15.1 by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>deleting paragraph (c)(vi) and (vii); and</li> <li>substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (d) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that</li> </ul>

							adopts the option of working 152 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off as set out in paragraph (b)(v) must satisfy the following conditions”; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (e) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 160 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off plus one rostered day off as set out in paragraph (b)(vi) must satisfy the following conditions”</li> </ul>
25	Resolved. Award updated as per item 10.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.1(c)	<b>Ordinary hours of work. Full-time employees</b> Draft clauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii) should retain the wording of the current award or the draft clause should be redrafted to be clearer.	Para 5.1	<b>DC:</b> See notes above
26	Resolved. Award updated as per item 10	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.1(d)	The clause should specifically reference the applicable averaging arrangement i.e., 152 hours per four week cycle in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 18	<b>DC:</b> See notes above
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Agrees with AHA’s suggested amendments to draft clause 15.1(d)	Para 18	
27	Resolved. Award updated as per item 10	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.1(e)	The clause should specifically reference the applicable averaging arrangement i.e., 160 hours per four week cycle in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 19	<b>DC:</b> See notes above
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Agrees with AHA’s suggested amendments to draft clause 15.1(e)	Para 18	
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl.	Wording found in current clause	Para 20	<b>DC:</b> Request that AHA explain how

				15.1(e)(ii)	<del>29.2(e)(ii) should be retained to avoid potentially altering the intention and interpretation of the provision.</del>		the intent and interpretation of current clause 29.1(c)(ii) would be altered.  Withdrawn. See <a href="#">Submission-05/09/17</a>
32	Resolved – issue regarding minimum break does not arise in this award.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.4	<b>Rosters (Full-time and part-time employees)</b> Draft clause 15.4(e) and the current clause 30.1(b) differ because the draft provision doesn't specify the 10-hour break between the end of ordinary hours on one day and the commencement of ordinary hours on the following day.	Para 5.3	Clause updated.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted. In clause 15.4(e) “ordinary hours” is substituted for “work” (where occurring).  However, while this reflects the original, it leaves unclear what the position is if overtime is worked immediately after finishing ordinary hours on one day or immediately before working ordinary hours on the next day. Is the effective minimum break reduced by the amount of overtime worked?
37	Resolved. Award updated.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 18.3	<b>Minimum rates – Table 4</b> Draft Table 4 should have an additional column containing the minimum hourly rate for Casino gaming employees.  Also, Draft Table 4 should have all the relevant information populated for a particular classification in a particular classification level in a single row for clarity.	Para 7.2 and 7.3	Table updated.  Hourly rates included in Table 4 as column 3 and lead-in words amended accordingly.
38A	Resolved. Cross referencing error	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 18	Drafting error in Note 3. The words ‘Junior rates’ should appear before the new text.	Para 25	
42	Resolved. Award	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 19.3(a)	<b>Apprenticeships</b> There is a minor referencing	Para 8.1	Clause amended.

	updated.				inconsistency in draft clause 19.3 – Proficiency payments – cooking trades.		<b>DC:</b> Agreed. The reference to “4 <sup>th</sup> ” should be the same in each case. Change 19.3(a) to read “4 <sup>th</sup> ”
45	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 23.1	<b>Payment of Wages</b> Reference to a monthly pay period only for certain employees removes the ability to pay an employee on an annualised salary on a monthly basis. The wording changes the ability to pay monthly to a wider group of employees.	Para 32	Clause amended.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted. Clause 23.1 is amended to include after “to whom” the expression “clause <del>22</del> 24— Annualised salary arrangements or”.
50	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl 25.1	<b>Salaries absorption (Managerial Staff (Hotels))</b> Clause incorrectly references the starting point which the annual salary under this clause is calculated.	Para 36	Clause updated.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted. The issue is dealt with by substituting “annual salary in clause 18.2” for “weekly rate that would otherwise be applicable under Table 3—Minimum rates (see clause 18.1) over the year”.
52	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.4	<b>Allowances</b> There is a referencing error as reference to clause 26.3 should be to clause Cl. 26.4.	Para 38	Reference updated.  <b>DC:</b> Agreed. Clause Cl. 26.4(a) should refer to clause Cl. 26.4.
54	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.6	<b>Allowances – special clothing</b> Wording of current clauses 21.1(b)(ii), (v) and (vi) should be retained.	Para 41	<b>DC:</b> With the above change to clause 26.6(a), the PLED would be to the same effect as the existing wording.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.6	Terms removed in current clauses 21.1(b)(iii) and (iv) will cause confusion because reference to laundering only applies to catering or motel employees.	Para 42	<b>DC:</b> The issue raised could be addressed by substituting for paragraph (c) new paragraphs as follows: (c) If the employee (other than an employee mentioned in paragraph (d) or (e)) is responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. <del>24.6</del> 26.6	Current clause should be retained including a separate clause dealing with catering and motel employees	Para 43	

		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		because the draft provision alters the intent and effect of some provisions. Restates its concerns expressed in earlier submission.	Paras 46 – 48	be worn by them, the employer must: (i) pay the employee a weekly laundry allowance of an amount agreed between the employer and the employee; or (ii) in the absence of an agreement mentioned in subparagraph (i), reimburse the employee for the cost of laundering any item of special clothing. For this purpose the employer may require the employee to show evidence of that cost. (d) If a catering employer requires an employee (including an airport catering employee) to be responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to be worn by them, the employer must pay the employee a laundry allowance of <b>\$6.00</b> per week for a full-time employee and <b>\$2.05</b> for each uniform for a part-time or casual employee. (e) If a motel employee is responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to be worn by them, the employer must pay the employee a laundry allowance of <b>\$2.40</b> for each uniform up to \$7.45 per week.
55	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.7(b)	<b>Allowances – motor vehicle</b> The words “travelled in performing duties” should be replaced with “of authorised travel.”	Para 44	Subclause updated.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted. Insert “authorised to be” before “travelled”.
58	Resolved. Award	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 26.11 and	<b>Airport Catering Travel allowance and Airport Catering Supervisory</b>	Para 45	<b>DC:</b> Could be addressed by in clause 26.11 substituting “An airport catering

	updated.			Cl. 26.13(a)	<b>allowance</b> Terminology in current clauses 21.1(i) and 21.2(c) should be retained because the draft provisions do not properly reflect the existing employer and employee description to which these allowances apply to.		employer must pay an employee” for “The employer of an airport catering employee must pay the employee” and in clause 26.13(a) substituting “employee of an airport catering employer” for “airport catering employee”.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates its concerns expressed in earlier submission.	Para 49	For further discussion.
62	Resolved	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 28.1	<b>Overtime</b> Clause 28.1 – Payment of overtime should include wording that sets out an employer may require a non-casual employee to work reasonable overtime as reflected in the current clause 33.1(a).	Para 11.1	<b>DC:</b> Could be addressed by inserting a new clause 28.1 as follows “An employer may require a full-time or part-time employee to work additional hours.” The NOTE could then be located under clause 28.1.  For further discussion.
64	Resolved. Award updated.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 28.2	Current clause 33.3(c) must be included in the plain language version of this award because it is not present in the draft clause 28.2 or in general clause 28.	Para 11.2	<b>DC:</b> The issue could be addressed by inserting a new subclause after clause 28.2 as follows” In computing overtime payments, overtime worked on any day stands alone from overtime worked on any other day.”  For further discussion.
65	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 28.2	<b>Overtime</b> The term “ordinary base rate of pay” should be replaced with “ordinary hourly rate” for consistency.	Para 48	Clause updated.  <b>DC:</b> Accepted. In clause 28.2 “hourly rate” is substituted for “base rate of pay” where it twice occurs.
70	Resolved. Award updated.	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 30.5(a)	<b>Annual Leave</b> Draft clause 30.5(a) – special leave without pay arrangements for certain	Para 13.1	<b>DC:</b> The issue could be addressed by substituting “primary or” for “primary schools,” in clause 30.5(a).



	(PN412-445 of transcript)				catering employees should be amended to reflect the current equivalent entitlements in the current clause 34.4.		For further discussion.
75	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.1	Original wording of the Food and beverage attendant grade 3 definition should be retained because it alters the intent and interpretation of the duty.	Para 57	<b>DC:</b> The issue raised could be dealt with by deleting A.2.1(c), 2 <sup>nd</sup> last dot point and inserting 2 new dot points as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• training food and beverage attendants of a lower classification;</li> <li>• supervising food and beverage attendants of a lower classification.</li> </ul> For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates concern expressed in earlier submission and submits original wording be retained.	Para 44	
76	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.2	The words “of a lower classification” at the end of the Kitchen attendant grade 2 definition should be removed because it alters the intent and interpretation of the supervisory element.	Para 58	Schedule updated. <b>DC:</b> Accepted.
81	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.8	Current provision in the Handyperson definition should be retained because the replacement words “for the employer’s workplace” may alter the intent and interpretation of this definition.	Para 64	Schedule updated. <b>DC:</b> Agreed. Substitute “in and about the employer’s premises” for “for the employer’s workplace”.
82	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.3.2 New Note	Draft Casino table gaming employee grade 4 definition should be amended to reflect the Higher Duties clause instead of clause 23—Payment of Wages.	Para 65	NOTE updated. <b>DC:</b> Agreed. The cross-reference should be to clause 22—Higher duties.
83	Resolved.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A	The word “similar” should be	Para 66	Schedule updated.

	Award updated.			A.3.4(a)	replaced with “similar.”		<b>DC:</b> Agreed. The word should be “similar”.
88	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B	Overtime rates (except for those for casual employees) tables should include a reference that clause <b>28.3</b> —Time off instead of payment for overtime may apply.	Para 71	Schedule updated.  <b>DC:</b> Note added to B.2.2, B.3.3, B.4.3, B.6.2, B.7.3, B.8.4, B.8.6, B.9.3 and B.9.5 as follows:  “Clause <b>28.3</b> —Time off instead of payment for overtime allows employees and employers to agree in writing to the employee taking time off instead of being paid for overtime.”
88A	Resolved. Cross referencing error	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Schedule B.4	Drafting error in Note 3. ‘Junior rates’ should appear below the new text.	Para 27	
89	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B <b>B.5</b>	<b>B.5</b> provision should include a note that <b>B.5.1</b> and <b>B.5.2</b> do not apply to employees paid under clause <b>25</b> . In addition, the rates of <b>B.5</b> are incorrect.	Paras 72 – 73	Schedule updated.  Rates have been updated to reflect the AWR 2017.  <b>DC:</b> Note added to B.5.1 and B.5.2 as follows: “Overtime and penalty rates are not payable to an employee to whom clause <b>25</b> applies.”
90	Resolved. Award updated.	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule C C.3 & C.4	Sched C.3 should include a note that this provision does not apply to an employee paid under draft clause <b>24</b> and draft clause <b>25</b> .	Paras 74 – 75	Schedules C.3 and C.4 updated.  <b>DC:</b> In C.3 a note has been added as follows: “Penalty rates are not payable to an employee to whom clause <b>25</b> applies and may not be payable to an

					Sched C.4 should clarify the provision is not applicable to an employee paid under draft clause 25.		employee to whom clause 24 applies.” In C.4 a note has been added as follows: “Deductions are not applicable to an employee to whom clause 25 applies.”
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	---

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
1	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl 1.3 – 1.4	Clauses 1.3 and 1.4 are unnecessary and should be removed.	Para 6	
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Removal of clauses 1.3 and 1.4 should be referred to a separately constituted Full Bench.	Paras 4 – 7	
2	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Current Cl. 2.2	<b>Commencement and transitional</b> Current clause 2.2 should not be omitted because there is impact on the intention of the overaward payments treatment. Draft clauses 1.3 and 1.4 should also be removed.	Para 5	
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Opposes AHA’s submission regarding current clause 2.2 because the clause was ‘intended to be transitional in character’ and not intended to operate beyond the transitional period as per the <a href="#">Full Bench’s September Decision</a> .	Paras 4 – 7	
3	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	<b>Definitions – adult employee</b> New definition of an “adult employee” is unnecessary because the adult apprentice definition has been included.	Para 7	<b>DC:</b> Definition is necessary. Term is used in draft.  Adult has natural meaning different to use in award.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Agrees with AHA’s submission	Para 8	

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
4 (part)	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 2	<p><b>Definitions – appropriate level of training</b></p> <p>The wording of “appropriate level of training” definition in draft alters the intention and interpretation of the clause.</p> <p>The current “appropriate level of training” definition should be retained (with the exception being to retain the ED’s new dispute resolution reference in Note 1).</p>	Paras 8-10	<p><b>DC:</b> Request that AHA specifies how intention of current clause has been changed.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>		Agrees with the change in Note 1 which identifies that disputes be addressed in accordance to the clause 36 of the ED rather than being referred to the Commission in the first instance.	Para 9	
16	Withdrawn	United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>	Cl. 11	<p><b>Casual employment</b></p> <p>The modified casual employee entitlement doesn’t reflect award-defined features of a causal worker’s employment because of the catch-all phrasing used in draft. Reference to engagement and payment as a casual would be removed – variation would regularise behaviour that would currently contravene an award.</p> <p>Currently a casual employee must be engaged as such and paid a casual loading. Under this formulation, employment status is determined by reference to employee’s contract of employment and the award. New</p>	Paras 3 – 10	<p><b>DC:</b> If an employer is not engaging a person as a full-time or part-time employee, the employer must engage them as a casual employee. The casual payment requirement then applies as set out in clause 11.2.</p> <p>It is not open to an employer to engage an employee as a casual if, having regard to the features of their employment; they are covered by clause 9 or 10.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					<p>clause largely leaves employment status to discretion of employer.</p> <p>Requirement that casual employee not full-time or part-time implied and evidence by casual loading being described as ‘compensation for’ benefits of full-time and part-time employment. Employment must have award-defined features to be a casual employee. Referred to <i>Nardy House v John Perry</i> [2016] FWC 73 (appealed [2016] FWCFB 943, reasons [2016] FWCFB 1621)</p> <p>ED reduces casual employment to a catch-all type of employment for employees whose employer has not specifically offered them employment under clauses 9 or 10.</p>		
		AHA	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 11	<p>AHA seeks to discuss the draft casual employment clause as mentioned in para 9 of the United Voice’s submission dated 8 June 2017.</p> <p>AHA’s preference is that current clause 13.1 be retained</p>	Para 4	
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.1	<p>Agrees with AHA’s submission – current clause 13.1 is preferable to draft clause 11.1</p>	Para 13	
18	Withdrawn	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 11.2	<p>The use of a note in clause 11.2 is inappropriate. The note explains what the cause loading is paid in lieu of. This explanation previously</p>	Para 4.2	<b>DC:</b> The Note explains the reason for the loading. It is sufficient that the requirement to pay the loading be in a substantive provision.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					appeared in clause 13.1 of the current award.  Content of note should be stated in a specific clause (eg. Clause 11.3 with subsequent renumbering).		For further discussion.
21	Withdrawn  PN196 of transcript	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 12.7	<b>Apprentices – Training</b> The word “must” should be removed because it creates a different intention to the existing wording in current cl. 14.10. The current wording or words of a similar intent should be used.	Para 15	<b>DC:</b> The issue could be addressed by substituting “apprentice is entitled to be released” for “employer must release an apprentice”.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	Cl. 12.7	Presses submission that current award wording should be retained.	Para 32	
31	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.3	Draft clause removes the express requirement to consult with employees. The rationale is unclear.	Para 23	<b>DC:</b> The requirement to consult about major workplace change is covered by clause <del>34</del> <b>38</b> .
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>	Cl. 15.3	Agrees with AHA submission regarding the obligation to consult with employees.	Para 21	For further discussion.
32A	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>	15.4(b), (e)	Submits ‘their’ should be inserted before the words ‘ordinary hours’ wherever they appear.	Para 24	
33 (part)	Part Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 16	<b>Breaks</b> The current breaks clause should be retained because the term “rest break” is inconsistent with the plain language intention; it imposes a breaks entitlement that does not currently exist; fails to reflect the existing provisions that provide employee with options about break arrangements; and changes the	Para 24	<b>DC:</b> The draft uses the term “paid rest break” not “rest break”.  It is unclear how the insertion of the word “rest’ is inconsistent with plain language drafting and likely to cause confusion.  Clause 16 is to be redrafted to reflect that the existing award only allows an

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
					intention and interpretation of the additional payment for the break not given.  <b>Withdrawn its submission in relation to the insertion of the word 'rest'.</b>		employee on a shift of up to 6 hours to request an unpaid meal break.  Request that AHA explain how clause 16.6 has altered the intent and interpretation of the additional payment for the break not given.
		United Voice	<a href="#">Reply sub-22/06/17</a>		Refer to their submissions of 8 June 2017 - <a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>  Agrees with AHA that where possible the current award wording should be retained.	Para 22	For further discussion.
36	Withdrawn	Business SA	<a href="#">Sub-14/06/17</a>	Cl. 18.1	<b>Minimum rates</b> Draft Table 3 should have all the relevant information populated for a particular classification in a particular classification level in a single row for clarity.	Para 7.1	<b>DC:</b> The Table would look busy if every entry contained the dollar amounts. The dollar amounts are set out in relation to Levels.  Table not updated.
38	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 18.4(a) and (b)	<b>Minimum rates</b> The relevant minimum rate should be clarified to be the relevant rate the junior employee position classification.	Para 25	<b>DC:</b> The lead-in words state "...the minimum rate that would otherwise be applicable under Table 3". This must be the rate relevant to the classification of the employee. No further clarification is required.
44	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 19.5(d)	Clause should refer back to clause 19.5(c) to clarify its application.	Para 30	<b>DC:</b> It is not necessary for clause 19.5(d) to refer back to clause 19.5(c). For further discussion.
57	Withdrawn	United Voice	<a href="#">Sub-08/06/17</a>	Cl.-26.11	<b>Award airport catering employees travel allowance</b> Current wording should be retained because application of the draft allowance is restricted to "airport catering employees".	Paras 24 – 25	<b>DC:</b> Noted. Clause 26.11 could be amended to substitute "all employees engaged by airport catering employers" for "airport catering employees".  For further discussion.

ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
66	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 28.2	<b>Overtime</b> Clause does not specify that “overtime worked on any day stands alone” as per current award.	Para 49	<b>DC:</b> See the solution at Issue 64.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates its concerns expressed in earlier submission.	Para 50	
67	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Cl. 30	<b>Annual leave – note</b> Note unnecessary.	Para 50	<b>DC:</b> The Note provides the reader with useful information.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Restates its concerns expressed in earlier submission.	Para 51	
72	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A	<b>Schedule A</b> The term “grade” should be replaced by the term “classification” in all relevant references.	Para 54	<b>DC:</b> It is appropriate to retain the term “grade” in the title of each classification.
74	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A	The word “and” should be retained in the draft of classification definitions.	Para 56	<b>DC:</b> The use of “and” is not appropriate with the lead-in words “any of the following”.  For further discussion.
78	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.3	Current Front office grades 1, 2, 3 and Supervisor definitions should be retained	Para 60	<b>DC:</b> Request that AHA specifies the material difference between the current and draft definitions.  Withdrawn, see <a href="#">Submission-05/09/17</a>
79	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.5 & A.2.6	The words “and/or” should be retained in A.2.5(b) Timekeeper/security officer grade 2; A.2.6(a) Leisure attendant grade 1, A.2.6(b) Leisure attendant grade 2, A.2.6(c) Leisure attendant grade 3 and A.2.7(b) Storeperson grade 2 definitions.	Para 62	<b>DC:</b> The expression “and/or” is not acceptable in a plain language document.



ITEM	Status	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
80	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule A A.2.7	Existing Storeperson grade 3 definition should be retained because it alters the intent of the classification.	Para 63	<b>DC:</b> The only material difference is the omission before “may exercise skills” of the words “exercises discretion within the scope of this classification and who”. If these words are regarded as important they could be included in A.2.7(c). In the second last item of A.2.7(c) “maintains” should be substituted for “maintaining” and in the last item “supervises” and “records” should be substituted for “supervising” and “recording” respectively.  Withdrawn, see <a href="#">Submission-05/09/17</a>
87	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule B	The ordinary, Saturday, Sunday and Public Holiday rates table should, where relevant, include an additional note that allowances may apply including a reference to the applicable clause and Schedule.	Para 70	<b>DC:</b> The suggestion seems unnecessary in a Schedule that is intended only to summarise hourly rates of pay.  For further discussion.
		AHA	<a href="#">Sub-05/09/17</a>		Presses earlier submission.	Para 59	
92	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	Schedule D	Reference to “proportionate” entitlements in Sched D.10 should be replaced with “pro-rata” for consistency.	Para 77	<b>DC:</b> The word “proportionate” is more appropriate.
94	Withdrawn	AHA	<a href="#">Sub-13/06/17</a>	General	The term “will” has been replaced in the draft with the term “must” in a number of clauses. These replacements may alter the original intention and interpretation of those clauses.	Para 80	<b>DC:</b> The word “will” is not appropriate to impose an obligation.