

The Australian Industry Group

51 Walker Street North Sydney NSW 2060 PO Box 289 North Sydney NSW 2059 Australia ABN 76 369 958 788

5 June 2018

Deputy President Gostenknic Fair Work Commission 11 Exhibition Street Melbourne 3000

Dear Deputy President

Re. AM2014/203 - 4 yearly review of modern awards – Graphic Arts, Printing and **Publishing Award 2010**

We refer to the AMWU's substantive claim to make major changes to Schedule C -Competencies, in the Graphic Arts, Printing and Publishing Award 2010.

We advise that we oppose the amendments that the AMWU proposes.

The competencies in Schedule C are directly linked to the classification structure and the wage rates in the Award. The changes that the AMWU proposes would most likely result in a large number of employees under the Award being reclassified at higher levels and becoming entitled to higher wage rates in circumstances where there has been no change in the value of the work being performed by the employees.

The amendments proposed by the AMWU breach s.156(3) of the Fair Work Act 2009 because the higher wage rates that would become payable are not "justified by work value reasons".

The current linkages between the classification structure, the competencies in Schedule C and the wage rates in the Award arose out a 26 October 2005 decision (PR964271) of Senior Deputy President Marsh of the Australian Industrial Relations Commission (AIRC). The first paragraph of that decision states: (emphasis added)

[1] This decision resolves an extremely protracted exercise which commenced sixteen years ago under the auspices of the Structural Efficiency Principle which was introduced by the August 1989 National Wage Case Decision which required the parties to provide "consistent, coherent award structures based on training and skills acquired." For sixteen years the major parties to what is now the Graphic Arts - General - Award 2000 (the award) have been attempting to reach agreement on a skill based classification structure to be inserted into the award and apply across the industry. Various Members of the Commission, most notably Merriman C and Munro J, have made determinations and given guidance to the parties along the way. The most recent impetus for the award classification structure arises out of award simplification proceedings between 1998 and 2000. The classification structure remained a reserved matter at the time the award was made and the parties have been relatively active since that time in developing and testing classification levels which are capable of practical application throughout the disparate graphic arts industry.





On 8 March 2006, a Full Bench of the AIRC (Guidice J, Hamilton DP and Cribb C) decided (PR969473) not to grant leave to the Printing Industries Association of Australia to appeal the decision.

Ai Group is opposed to the large number of additional competencies that the AMWU is seeking to have added to Schedule C (see the competencies highlighted in yellow on pages 6 to 18 of the AMWU's draft determination of 24 April 2018). The addition of these competencies would potentially have major adverse reclassification and cost consequences for employers.

Ai Group is also opposed to the weightings being increased for various existing competencies, as proposed by the AMWU (see the table attached to the AMWU's draft determination of 24 April 2018).

However, Ai Group would not be opposed to the following changes to Schedule C:

- 1. Deleting the following competencies from Schedule C, as proposed by the AMWU:
 - ICPKN312B Apply knowledge of printing machining
 - ICPKN314B Apply knowledge and requirements of the screen printing sector
 - ICPKN316B Apply knowledge and requirements of paper and printing processes
 - ICPKN320B Apply knowledge and requirements of information technology systems in the printing industry
 - BSBSBM301A Research business activities
 - BSBSLS302A Identify sales prospects
 - BSBSBM402A Undertake financial planning
 - BSBSBM404A Undertake business planning
 - ICPKN311B Apply knowledge of the graphic pre-press sector
 - ICPPP222B Scan a line image
 - ICPPP226B Produce interactive PDF files
 - ICPPP227B Produce online PDF files
 - KN15A Apply knowledge and requirements of the multi media sector
 - CUFMEM121A Update webpages
 - CUFMEM07A Apply principles of visual design and communication to the development of a multimedia product
 - KN18A Apply knowledge and requirements of mail house
 - KN19A Apply knowledge and process of converting paper-based products
 - KN13A Apply knowledge and requirements of the converting, binding and finishing sector
 - TDTA4101A Manually sort mail and or parcels
 - SP231A Prepare stencil using computer or hand cut method
 - BSBADV401A Profile a target audience
 - BSBCMN410A Co-ordinate implementation of customer service strategies

- 2. Reducing the weighting for the following competencies in Schedule C, as proposed by the AMWU:
 - CUFIMA05A create 3 dimensional digital models and images (reduce weighting from 5 points to 3 points)
 - CUFMEM10A Design and create a multimedia interface (reduce weighting from 5 points to 4 points)
 - CUFMEM11A Design the navigation for a multimedia product (reduce weighting from 5 points to 4 points)
 - CULLB505A Analyse and describe material (reduce weighting from 5 points to 4 points)
 - CUFIMA06A Develop and implement visual effects designs (reduce weighting from 6 points to 3 points)
 - CUFMEM09A Apply principles of game design to a multimedia product (reduce weighting from 6 points to 5 points)
 - BSBMKG401A Profile the market (reduce weighting from 4 points to 0 points)
- 3. Updating the codes for those competencies currently listed in Schedule C which have not substantially changed, with no change to the weighting of each competency.

The above changes would not have adverse consequences for employers or employees.

An alternative acceptable approach would be to remove Schedule C entirely from the Award, with classifications and wage rates determined through the application of the classification definitions in Schedule B of the Award. (This is already, by far, the most common method of classifying employees under this Award). The definitions in Schedule B include formal qualifications and indicative tasks. This approach would not prevent the Industry Training Package and competency standards being used to guide training outcomes in the industry. It would simply prevent adverse reclassification and cost consequences arising for employers due to decisions made by training bodies. The persons who make decisions on training bodies are typically appointed due to their knowledge and qualifications in training matters. They often have little or no detailed understanding of any linkages between awards and relevant competencies, and the effect of decisions made for training purposes on wage rates under awards. This is not surprising when there are only a very small number of awards which have classification structures formally linked to competency standards.

Yours sincerely

Stephen Smith

S Smith

Head of National Workplace Relations Policy