

DRAFT SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Interested parties are requested to review the draft summary of submissions to ensure that their submissions are accurately characterised. If parties would like any amendments to the draft summary of submissions they should notify AMOD (amod@fwc.gov.au) by the close of business on 5 September 2017.

This summary of submissions also includes drafting comments in relation to submission received on the *Hospitality Industry (General) Award* plain language exposure draft. The *Hospitality Industry (General) Award* revised plain language exposure draft has been prepared and should be read with the revised summary of submissions. Tracked and un-tracked versions of the *Hospitality Industry (General) Award* revised plain language exposure draft are published on the Commission’s website.

This table is a summary of submissions lodged on or before 5.00pm on 22 June 2017.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
1	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl 1.3 – 1.4	Clauses 1.3 and 1.4 are unnecessary and should be removed.	Para 6	
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Removal of clauses 1.3 and 1.4 should be referred to a separately constituted Full Bench.	Paras 4 – 7	
2	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Current Cl. 2.2	Commencement and transitional Current clause 2.2 should not be omitted because there is impact on the intention of the overaward payments treatment. Draft clauses 1.3 and 1.4 should also be removed.	Para 5	
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Opposes AHA’s submission regarding current clause 2.2 because the clause was ‘intended to be transitional in character’ and not intended to operate beyond the transitional period as per the Full Bench’s September Decision .	Paras 4 – 7	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
3	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 2	Definitions – adult employee New definition of an “adult employee” is unnecessary because the adult apprentice definition has been included.	Para 7	DC: Definition is necessary. Term is used in draft. Adult has natural meaning different to use in award.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Agrees with AHA’s submission	Para 8	
4	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 2	Definitions – appropriate level of training The wording of “appropriate level of training” definition in draft alters the intention and interpretation of the clause. The current “appropriate level of training” definition should be retained (with the exception being to retain the ED’s new dispute resolution reference in Note 1).	Paras 8-10	DC: Request that AHA specifies how intention of current clause has been changed. For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Reserves its position on the “appropriate level of training” matter.	Para 9	
	AHA	Sub-13/06/17		Agrees with the change in Note 1 which identifies that disputes be addressed in accordance to the clause 36 of the ED rather than being referred to the Commission in the first instance.	Para 9	
5	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 2	Definitions – catering by a restaurant business “Catering by a restaurant business” definition has been removed despite	Para 2.1	DC: Suggest that, rather than at clause 2 insert a definition of “catering by a restaurant business”, at clause 4.4(d)(vi) substitute the words

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				the term still being utilised in the coverage provisions. The definition should be reinstated.		“catering services provided by a restaurant as an incidental business;”
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 2	The deleted wording “catering by a restaurant business” in clause 2 should be retained because it is still referred to in clause 4 Coverage.	Para 1.3	For further discussion.
6	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 2	Definitions – Resort “Resort” definition should reinstate the words “and includes an offshore island resort”.	Para 2.2	Resort definition updated. Given the history of decision not to make a separate offshore island resort, words re-inserted for consistency.
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 2	Definitions – Resort Current wording that resorts “includes an offshore island resort” should be retained.	Paras 1.1– 1.3	See [2009] AIRCFB 450 paragraphs 135 – 142 and [2009] AIRCFB 826 paragraphs 167 – 168.
7	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Current Cl 3	Definitions – current definition ordinary hourly rate Current “ordinary hourly rate” definition should be retained.	Para 11	DC: The effect of the AHA submission is to omit “plus any all purpose allowances to which the employee is entitled”
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Opposes AHA’s submission. Exposure draft definition of ordinary hourly rate is consistent with Full Bench July 2015 Decision and September 2015 Decision .	Paras 10-11	For further discussion.
8	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 7	Facilitative provisions for flexible working practices Table 1 should refer to clause 21.2 23.2 the facilitative provision relating to payment of wages.	Paras 2.1 and 9.1	Table 1 updated. DC: Agreed. Also substitute “the majority” for “a majority”. Text of clause 23.2 amended to reflect

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						wording in table.
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Table 1 reference to clause 26.3 28.3 is incorrect and should refer to clause 26.3(a) 28.3(a) the facilitative provision relating to time off instead of payment for overtime.	Para 2.2	Reference not updated. Entire subclauses are intended to be facilitative.
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Table 1 should refer to the facilitative provision in clause 27.4(e) 29.4(c) which allows an employer and an individual employee to change the remuneration method for work on public holidays.	Para 2.3 (and 12.1)	Table 1 updated. DC: Agreed.
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Table 1 reference to clause 28.9 30.9 is inaccurate and should refer to clause 28.9(a) 30.9(a) relating to annual leave in advance.	Para 2.4	Reference not updated. Entire subclause intended to be facilitative. [2015] FWCFB 3406 at PN [411].
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Table 1 reference to clause 28.10 30.10 is inaccurate and should refer to clause 28.10(e) 30.10(c) the facilitative provision relating to cashing out of annual leave.	Para 2.5	Reference not updated. Entire subclause intended to be facilitative. [2015] FWCFB 3406 at PN [266].
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Table 1 should refer to the facilitative provision in clause 31.2 34.2 which allows an employer and a majority of employees at a workplace to agree to substitute another day for a public holiday.	Para 2.6 (and 14.1)	Table 1 updated. DC: Agreed. Also substitute “the majority” for “a majority”. Text of clause amended to reflect the words in table.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
9	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 7	Facilitative Provisions of Flexible Working Practices Not all clauses that contain facilitative provisions have been included in Table 1. Example: clauses 27.4(e) 29.4(c) and 32.1 (31.2 34.2).	Para 12	DC: See amendment to Table 1 as above.
10	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 9	Full-time employees Proposes a redraft of subclauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii), which apply subclauses 15.1(d) and 15.1(e) to subclauses 15.1(b)(v) and (vi) in accordance with the plain language principles but in a clearer manner.	Para 5.1	DC: Suggest amending draft clause 15.1 by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • deleting paragraph (c)(vi) and (vii); and • substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (d) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 152 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off as set out in paragraph (b)(v) must satisfy the following conditions”; and • substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (e) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 160 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off plus one rostered day off as set out in paragraph (b)(vi) must satisfy the following conditions”;
11	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 9	Full-time employment The wording “in accordance with	Paras 3.1 – 3.4	DC: Under clause 15.1 the employer and a full-time employee must agree

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				an agreed hours of work arrangement” should be removed due to the commonality of an award referring to an average number of hours to be worked without specifying an averaging period.		on a work arrangement. No objection to omitting the words “in accordance with an agreed hours of work arrangement” and amending the Note so that it reads “Clause 15.1 sets out work arrangement options for working the required average of 38 ordinary hours per week.”
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 9	Full-time employment Opposes the removal of ‘in accordance with an agreed hours of work arrangement’ The ED wording better explains full-time employment characteristics. The draft provision may be improved by referring to clause 15.1(b)	Para 12	This issue is opposed.
12	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 10.1	Part-time employment Draft clause 10.1 doesn’t fully reflect the wording in the current clause 12.2 as it doesn’t provide the indicia of a part-time employee. Current cl 12.2(c) states a part-time employee receives a pro rata equivalent of pay and conditions available to those of full-time employees who do the same kind of work. This indicium has not been reproduced.	Para 3.1	DC: Clauses 10.3 and 10.4 deal with this.
13	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 10.10	Part-time employment Clause is better located as a new clause 10.8 because it relates to written agreements or variations to	Para 4.1	Subclause moved. DC: Accepted.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				a part-time employees pattern of work.		
14	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 11.1	Casual employment Draft clause should be removed because it alters the intention of casual employment.	Para 13	DC: Request that AHA explains how clause 11.1 has altered the intention of casual employment. For further discussion.
15	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 11.1	Casual employment Current provisions in clause 3.1 should be retained at draft clause 11.1.	Para 4.1	DC: Presumably the reference should be to clause 13.1. There is no advantage in saying that a casual employee is an employee engaged as such. It leaves open that an employee could be engaged other than as full-time, part-time or casual. The PLED makes it clear that if an employer is not engaging an employee as a full-time or part-time employee, the employer is engaging the employee as a casual employee. For further discussion.
16	United Voice	Sub-08/06/17	Cl. 11	Casual employment The modified casual employee entitlement doesn't reflect award-defined features of a casual worker's employment because of the catch-all phrasing used in draft. Reference to engagement and payment as a casual would be removed – variation would	Paras 3 – 10	DC: If an employer is not engaging a person as a full-time or part-time employee, the employer must engage them as a casual employee. The casual payment requirement then applies as set out in clause 11.2. It is not open to an employer to engage an employee as a casual if, having

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				<p>regularise behaviour that would currently contravene an award.</p> <p>Currently a casual employee must be engaged as such and paid a casual loading. Under this formulation, employment status is determined by reference to employee’s contract of employment and the award. New clause largely leaves employment status to discretion of employer.</p> <p>Requirement that casual employee not full-time or part-time implied and evidence by casual loading being described as ‘compensation for’ benefits of full-time and part-time employment. Employment must have award-defined features to be a casual employee. Referred to <i>Nardy House v John Perry</i> [2016] FWC 73 (appealed [2016] FWCFB 943, reasons [2016] FWCFB 1621)</p> <p>ED reduces casual employment to a catch-all type of employment for employees whose employer has not specifically offered them employment under clauses 9 or 10.</p>		<p>regard to the features of their employment; they are covered by clause 9 or 10.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AHA	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 11	AHA seeks to discuss the draft casual employment clause as mentioned in para 9 of the United Voice's submission dated 8 June 2017. AHA's preference is that current clause 13.1 be retained	Para 4	
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 11.1	Agrees with AHA's submission – current clause 13.1 is preferable to draft clause 11.1	Para 13	
17	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 11.2	Casual Employment Current casual employment clause 13.1 (instead of clause 11.1 and 11.2) should be retained because it provides clarification to the compensation of the 25% casual loading. The Note in draft clause 11.2 does not provide this clarity.	Para 13	DC: The Note explains the reason for the loading. It is sufficient that the requirement to pay the loading be in a substantive provision. For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 11.2	Agrees with AHA's submission – current clause 13.1 is preferable to draft clause 11.2	Para 13	
18	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 11.2	The use of a note in clause 11.2 is inappropriate. The note explains what the cause loading is paid in lieu of. This explanation previously appeared in clause 13.1 of the current award.	Para 4.2	DC: The Note explains the reason for the loading. It is sufficient that the requirement to pay the loading be in a substantive provision. For further discussion.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				Content of note should be stated in a specific clause (eg. Clause 11.3 with subsequent renumbering).		
19	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 11.4	Casual Employment Draft provision should be simplified to be “A casual employee must be paid at the termination of each engagement, or otherwise in accordance with clause.”	Para 13	DC: A Note could be inserted after the clause as follows: “NOTE: Under clause 21.1 23.1 the employer and an individual casual employee may agree to a weekly or fortnightly pay period.” For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 11.4	Disagrees with AHA’s submission proposal to remove the reference to agreement from draft clause 11.4.	Para 14	
20	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 12.3	Apprentices The current apprentices’ clause should be retained instead of cl 12.3.	Para 14	DC: Request that both AHA and United Voice explain why current clause 14.4 is preferable to the draft clause 12.3. For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 12.3	Agrees with AHA that the current clause 14.4 is preferable to draft clause 12.3.	Para 15.	
21	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 12.7	Apprentices – Training The word “must” should be removed because it creates a different intention to the existing wording in current cl. 14.10. The current wording or words of a similar intent should be used.	Para 15	DC: The issue could be addressed by substituting “apprentice is entitled to be released” for “employer must release an apprentice”. For further discussion.
22	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl 12.8(b)	Apprentices – Block release training Omitting the word “excess” found in current clauses 14.5 and 14.6	Para 16	DC: The word “excess” is not necessary given that the clause is redrafted on the assumption that in the current clause 14.6 the expression

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				alters the intent and interpretation of the clause.		<p>“which exceed those incurred in travelling to and from work” only governs “reasonable expenses incurred while travelling, including meals”.</p> <p>See clause 12.8(d)(iii) of the PLED.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
23	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii)	Ordinary hours of work Proposes to include a small note or wording in brackets as to which averaging arrangement applies in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 17	<p>DC: Suggest amending clause 15.1 to indicate more clearly the conditions applicable to the options mentioned in clause 15.1(b)(v) and (vi).</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl.15.1(c)(vi)-(vii)	Opposes AHA’s proposal.	Para 17	
24	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii)	Ordinary hours of work. Full-time employees Draft clauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii) are cumbersome and unwieldy. Request that the provisions be drafted in accordance with the PL principles but in a clearer manner.	Para 5.1	<p>DC: Suggest amending clause 15.1 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • deleting paragraph (c)(vi) and (vii); and • substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (d) “In addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 152 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off as set out in paragraph (b)(v) must satisfy the following conditions”; and • substituting the following for the lead-in words in paragraph (e) “In

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						addition to the conditions set out in paragraph (c), an arrangement that adopts the option of working 160 hours per 4 week cycle with at least 8 days off plus one rostered day off as set out in paragraph (b)(vi) must satisfy the following conditions”; For further discussion.
25	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 15.1(c)	Ordinary hours of work. Full-time employees Draft clauses 15.1(c)(vi) and (vii) should retain the wording of the current award or the draft clause should be redrafted to be clearer.	Para 5.1	DC: See notes above
26	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.1(d)	The clause should specifically reference the applicable averaging arrangement i.e., 152 hours per four week cycle in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 18	DC: See notes above
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Agrees with AHA’s suggested amendments to draft clause 15.1(d)	Para 18	
27	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.1(e)	The clause should specifically reference the applicable averaging arrangement i.e., 160 hours per four week cycle in order to meet the plain language intention.	Para 19	DC: See notes above
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Agrees with AHA’s suggested amendments to draft clause 15.1(e)	Para 18	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.1(e)(ii)	Wording found in current clause 29.2(c)(ii) should be retained to avoid potentially altering the intention and interpretation of the provision.	Para 20	DC: Request that AHA explain how the intent and interpretation of current clause 29.1(c)(ii) would be altered. For further discussion.
28	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl.15.2	Wording found in current clause 29.3(a) should be retained. By omitting the word “catering” before the text “employers providing catering...” in the draft alters the intent, interpretation, application of the clause.	Para 21	DC: The current award does not define “catering employers”. If the meaning of the term is as suggested by the AHA, a definition of “catering employer” should be included as follows: “catering employer’ means an employer whose primary business is to provide catering services”. This is also relevant to clause 24.11 26.11 .
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		Agrees with AHA’s submission that draft clause 15.2(a) expands the application of the provision and that the current award wording should be retained.	Para 19	For further discussion.
29	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.2(i)	Wording found in current clause 29.3(f) should be retained because the words “other than rostered days off” alter the intent and interpretation of the clause.	Para 22	DC: The additional words are intended to clarify that employee who has accrued an entitlement to a rostered day off is entitled to be paid for that day.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 15.2(i)	Agrees with AHA’s submission that words ‘other than rostered days off’ should be deleted from clause 15.2(i).	Para 20	For further discussion.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
30	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 15.2	Catering in remote locations Neither the Exposure Draft nor the Current Award has a definition of ‘remote location’ for the purpose of clause 15.2(a).	Para 5.2	DC: Request that Business SA suggests a definition of “remote location”. For further discussion.
31	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 15.3	Draft clause removes the express requirement to consult with employees. The rationale is unclear.	Para 23	DC: The requirement to consult about major workplace change is covered by clause 34 38 . For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 15.3	Agrees with AHA submission regarding the obligation to consult with employees.	Para 21	
32	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 15.4	Rosters (Full-time and part-time employees) Draft clause 15.4(e) and the current clause 30.1(b) differ because the draft provision doesn’t specify the 10-hour break between the end of ordinary hours on one day and the commencement of ordinary hours on the following day.	Para 5.3	Clause updated. DC: Accepted. In clause 15.4(e) “ordinary hours” is substituted for “work” (where occurring). However, while this reflects the original, it leaves unclear what the position is if overtime is worked immediately after finishing ordinary hours on one day or immediately before working ordinary hours on the next day. Is the effective minimum break reduced by the amount of overtime worked?
33	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 16	Breaks The current breaks clause should be retained because the term “rest break” is inconsistent with the plain language intention; it imposes a	Para 24	DC: The draft uses the term “paid rest break” not “rest break”. It is unclear how the insertion of the word “rest’ is inconsistent with plain

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17		<p>breaks entitlement that does not currently exist; fails to reflect the existing provisions that provide employee with options about break arrangements; and changes the intention and interpretation of the additional payment for the break not given.</p> <p>Refer to their submissions of 8 June 2017 - Sub-08/06/17</p> <p>Agrees with AHA that where possible the current award wording should be retained.</p>	Para 22	<p>language drafting and likely to cause confusion.</p> <p>Clause 16 is to be redrafted to reflect that the existing award only allows an employee on a shift of up to 6 hours to request an unpaid meal break.</p> <p>Request that AHA explain how clause 16.6 has altered the intent and interpretation of the additional payment for the break not given.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
34	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 16	<p>Breaks</p> <p>Current provisions should be retained because of the substantive changes in the draft clause 16.</p>	Para 6	<p>DC: On reviewing the draft in the light of Business SA’s general comment, it is agreed that the draft gives an entitlement to an unpaid meal break where the shift is up to 6 hours whereas the current award, despite some language difficulties, would seem to only allow the employee to request a 30 minute unpaid meal break which the employer must not unreasonably refuse.</p> <p>This could be fixed by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amending clause 16.1 so that it reads “Clause 16 deals with meal breaks and rest breaks and gives an employee an entitlement to them in specified circumstances.”

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inserting after clause 16.1 a new clause 16.2 as follows: “An employee who works a shift of more than 5 hours and up to 6 hours may, no later than the start of the shift, request to take an unpaid meal break during the shift of up to 30 minutes. The employer must not unreasonably refuse the request. The request applies to all such shifts worked by the employee unless otherwise agreed between the employee and the employer. An arrangement under clause 16.2 may be reviewed at any time.” • In Table 2, the first entry should be deleted. • Delete existing clauses 16.4 and 16.5. <p>For further discussion.</p>
35	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 16	<p>Breaks Qualifying words regarding breaks at current clauses 31.1 and 31.2 have been omitted which potentially changes the legal effect of the provision.</p>	Paras 6.1 and 6.2	<p>DC: In response to Business SA’s comments, it is suggested that “Unpaid meal break of up to 30 minutes” be substituted in column 2 in relation to a shift of more than 5 and up to 6 hours.</p> <p>However, where an employee is being given an entitlement, the words “at least” are not appropriate.</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						For further discussion.
36	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 18.1	Minimum rates Draft Table 3 should have all the relevant information populated for a particular classification in a particular classification level in a single row for clarity.	Para 7.1	DC: The Table would look busy if every entry contained the dollar amounts. The dollar amounts are set out in relation to Levels. Table not updated.
37	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 18.3	Minimum rates – Table 4 Draft Table 4 should have an additional column containing the minimum hourly rate for Casino gaming employees. Also, Draft Table 4 should have all the relevant information populated for a particular classification in a particular classification level in a single row for clarity.	Para 7.2 and 7.3	Table updated. Hourly rates included in Table 4 as column 3 and lead-in words amended accordingly.
38	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 18.4(a) and (b)	Minimum rates The relevant minimum rate should be clarified to be the relevant rate the junior employee position classification.	Para 25	DC: The lead-in words state “...the minimum rate that would otherwise be applicable under Table 3”. This must be the rate relevant to the classification of the employee. No further clarification is required.
39	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	cl. 19.1(a) & 19.2(a)	Apprentice rates – Tables 7 and 8 Reference to weekly rates only does not adequately take into account the employment of part time apprentices.	Para 26	DC: Clause 19 reflects the terms of the current clause 20.4 in referring to weekly rates only. For further discussion.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
40	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 19	Apprentice rates Clause should specify that it does not cover adult apprentices as provided in clause 19.5.	Para 27	DC: The issue raised could be dealt with by including the expression “(other than an adult apprentice)” after “apprentice” in clauses 19.1(a) and 19.2(a) and where first occurring in clauses 19.3 and 19.4. For further discussion.
41	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 19.1(b)	The words “as a qualified trades person” should be included after the word “apprenticeship” for consistency with clause 19.2(b).	Para 28	DC: The wording reflects current clause 20.4(a)(i) For further discussion.
42	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 19.3(a)	Apprenticeships There is a minor referencing inconsistency in draft clause 19.3 – Proficiency payments – cooking trades.	Para 8.1	Clause amended. DC: Agreed. The reference to “4 th ” should be the same in each case. Change 19.3(a) to read “4 th ”
43	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 19.3 and 19.4	The significant rewording of clause 19.3 and 19.4 alters the intention and interpretation of the clause.	Para 29	DC: Request that the AHA explain the basis for its concern. For further discussion.
44	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 19.5(d)	Clause should refer back to clause 19.5(c) to clarify its application.	Para 30	DC: It is not necessary for clause 19.5(d) to refer back to clause 19.5(c). For further discussion.
45	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 21.1 23.1	Payment of Wages Reference to a monthly pay period only for certain employees removes the ability to pay an employee on an annualised salary on a monthly basis. The wording changes the ability to pay monthly to a wider	Para 32	Clause amended. DC: Accepted. Clause 21.1 23.1 is amended to include after “to whom” the expression “clause 22 24 — Annualised salary arrangements or”.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				group of employees.		
46	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 21.5 23.5	The words “if they so desire” should be retained in the draft.	Para 33	DC: It is not necessary to include the words “if they so desire” as the clause is drafted in terms of an entitlement and not an obligation as current clause 26.5 is. For further discussion.
47	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 22.1 24.1 and 22.5 24.5	Annualised salary arrangements The inclusion of “other than casual employees” clarifies the existing interpretation of the annualised salary arrangements. Wording in current clause 27.1(b)(ii) provides reference to penalty rates and overtime and should be retained. Reference to penalty rate and overtime In draft clause 22.5 24.5 should include reference to the corresponding clause numbers.	Paras 34 – 35	Clause updated. DC: Accepted. In clause 22.5 24.5 the expression “the requirements of this award under clause 26 28—Overtime and clause 27 29—Penalty rates” is substituted for “this award in relation to penalty rates and overtime”.
48	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17	Cl. 22.2 24.2	Annualised Salary Arrangements The words “an agreement must be one that is genuinely made without coercion or duress” should be removed because it changes the legal effect of the clause.	Para 8.1	DC: Issue is opposed. For further discussion.
	United Voice	Reply sub-22/06/17	Cl. 22 24	Disagrees with ABI and NSWBC because the insertion of the draft provision wording would assist the likely reader.	Paras 23 – 24	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				The new words simply express what is implied by the words ‘by agreement’ in current clause 27.1		
49	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl 23.1 25.1	Salaries absorption (Managerial Staff (Hotels)) Clause incorrectly references the starting point which the annual salary under this clause is calculated.	Para 36	Clause updated. DC: Accepted. The issue is dealt with by substituting “annual salary in clause 18.2” for “weekly rate that would otherwise be applicable under Table 3—Minimum rates (see clause 18.1) over the year”.
50	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 23.2(g) 25.2(g)	The word “loading” should be inserted after the word “leave” in clause 23.2(g) 25.2(g) to provide clarification.	Para 37	DC: The suggestion is inconsistent with the move away from the term “loading”. For further discussion.
51	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.4 26.4	Allowances There is a referencing error as reference to clause 24.3 26.3 should be to clause Cl. 24.4 26.4.	Para 38	Reference updated. DC: Agreed. Clause Cl. 24.4(a) 26.4(a) should refer to clause Cl. 24.4 26.4.
52	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.6(a) 26.6(a)	Special Clothing allowance The wording “any article of” potentially broadens the definition of special clothing. The wording “easily obtainable”, “dinner suit or evening dress” and “formal clothing” alters the intent and interpretation of the provision.	Paras 39 – 40	DC: It is not clear how the inclusion of the words “any article of” broadens the definition. However, on reviewing the clause, for consistency with clause 24.6(e) 26.6(e), it is suggested that in clause 24.6(a) 26.6(a) the word “item” should be substituted for “article”. It is further suggested that in clause

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<p>24.6(a) 26.6(a), “black and white attire (other than a dinner suit or evening dress)” should be substituted for “easily obtainable black and white clothing”.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
53	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.6 26.6	Allowances – special clothing Wording of current clauses 21.1(b)(ii), (v) and (vi) should be retained.	Para 41	<p>DC: With the above change to clause 24.6(a) 26.6(a), the PLED would be to the same effect as the existing wording.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
54	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.6 26.6	Terms removed in current clauses 21.1(b)(iii) and (iv) will cause confusion because reference to laundering only applies to catering or motel employees.	Para 42	<p>DC: The issue raised could be addressed by substituting for paragraph (c) new paragraphs as follows:</p> <p>(c) If the employee (other than an employee mentioned in paragraph (d) or (e)) is responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to be worn by them, the employer must:</p> <p>(i) pay the employee a weekly laundry allowance of an amount agreed between the employer and the employee; or</p> <p>(ii) in the absence of an agreement mentioned in subparagraph (i), reimburse the employee for the cost of laundering any item of special clothing. For this</p>
	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.6 26.6	Current clause should be retained including a separate clause dealing with catering and motel employees because the draft provision alters the intent and effect of some provisions.	Para 43	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<p>purpose the employer may require the employee to show evidence of that cost.</p> <p>(d) If a catering employer requires an employee (including an airport catering employee) to be responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to be worn by them, the employer must pay the employee a laundry allowance of \$6.00 per week for a full-time employee and \$2.05 for each uniform for a part-time or casual employee.</p> <p>(e) If a motel employee is responsible for laundering any special clothing that is required to be worn by them, the employer must pay the employee a laundry allowance of \$2.40 for each uniform up to \$7.45 per week.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
55	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.7(b) 26.7(b)	Allowances – motor vehicle The words “travelled in performing duties” should be replaced with “of authorised travel.”	Para 44	<p>Subclause updated.</p> <p>DC: Accepted. Insert “authorised to be” before “travelled”.</p>
56	United Voice	Sub-08/06/17	Cl. 24.10(e) 26.10(c)	Allowances – Working away from usual place allowance This is an objectionable and unreasonable term that contravenes legislation because it permits	Paras 12 – 23	<p>DC: Noted.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				<p>employers to deduct a sum from an employee’s pay which was incurred by the employee at the employer’s direction because the working relationship ended within an arbitrary period of time.</p> <p>Modern awards must only include terms permitted by s136 of the Act and may include terms under Part 2-3, Division 3, Subdivision B. Draft clause 24.10(c) is not a term that must be included or may be included. The section makes no provision for terms that create liabilities for the employee to the employer. FWC does not have the power to include a term such as draft clause 24.10(c) in a modern award.</p> <p>Regulation 2.12 of FW Regs lists a number of circumstances in which a deduction is reasonable – recovery of fares paid to the employee is not one of those.</p>		
	ABI and NSWBC	Sub-09/06/17		<p>Working away from Usual Place of Work Reserves position whether cl. 24.10(e) 26.10(c) may need to be considered in the context of ss.151</p>	Para 9.1	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				and 326.		
	AHA	Sub-13/06/17		Reserves its position to discuss this clause at a later stage.	Penultimate paragraph	
	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17		Reserves its position.	Para 10.1	
57	United Voice	Sub-08/06/17	Cl.24.11 26.11	Award airport catering employees travel allowance Current wording should be retained because application of the draft allowance is restricted to “airport catering employees”.	Paras 24 – 25	DC: Noted. Clause 24.11 26.11 could be amended to substitute “all employees engaged by airport catering employers” for “airport catering employees”. For further discussion.
58	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.11 26.11 and Cl. 24.13(a) 26.13(a)	Airport Catering Travel allowance and Airport Catering Supervisory allowance Terminology in current clauses 21.1(i) and 21.2(c) should be retained because the draft provisions do not properly reflect the existing employer and employee description to which these allowances apply to.	Para 45	DC: Could be addressed by in clause 24.11 26.11 substituting “An airport catering employer must pay an employee” for “The employer of an airport catering employee must pay the employee” and in clause 24.13(a) 26.13(a) substituting “employee of an airport catering employer” for “airport catering employee”. For further discussion.
59	United Voice	Sub-08/06/17	Cl. 24.13 26.13	Allowances in Table 9 of cl. 24.13 26.13 These allowances are all purposes allowances as it is “to be treated as part of the wage rate for all award payment calculations.”	Paras 26 – 27	DC: Noted. For further discussion.
60	United Voice	Sub-08/06/17	Cl. 24.13 26.13	Award airport catering supervisory allowance	Para 28	DC: Noted.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				Current wording should be retained because application of the draft allowance is restricted to “airport catering employees”. This clause should also be included in the list of all purpose allowances.		For further discussion.
61	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 24.14 26.14	Allowances – split shift The AHA notes that the ED has replaced the existing phrase “Broken Periods of Work” with the phrase “ <i>Split Shift Allowance</i> ”. While there is no specific objection to this change, the AHA does query whether it is necessary, as it may lead to reader confusion.	Para 46	DC: Given that clause 24.14 26.14 provides for the payment of an allowance, the term “split shift allowance” is appropriate.
62	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 26.1 28.1	Overtime Clause 26.1 28.1 – payment of overtime should include wording that sets out an employer may require a non-casual employee to work reasonable overtime as reflected in the current clause 33.1(a).	Para 11.1	DC: Could be addressed by inserting a new clause 26.1 28.1 as follows “An employer may require a full-time or part-time employee to work additional hours.” The NOTE could then be located under clause 26.1 28.1. For further discussion.
63	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 26.1 28.1	Overtime Intent of current award to exclude casuals is not clear.	Para 47	DC: The issue raised could be addressed by inserting in clause 26.1 28.1 a new paragraph (a) as follows: “Clause 26.1 28.1 does not apply to a casual employee.” For further discussion.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
64	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 26.2 28.2	Current clause 33.3(c) must be included in the plain language version of this award because it is not present in the draft clause 26.2 28.2 or in general clause 26 28.	Para 11.2	DC: The issue could be addressed by inserting a new subclause after clause 26.2 28.2 as follows” In computing overtime payments, overtime worked on any day stands alone from overtime worked on any other day.” For further discussion.
65	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 26.2 28.2	Overtime The term “ordinary base rate of pay” should be replaced with “ordinary hourly rate” for consistency.	Para 48	Clause updated. DC: Accepted. In clause 26.2 28.2 “hourly rate” is substituted for “base rate of pay” where it twice occurs.
66	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 26.2 28.2	Overtime Clause does not specify that “overtime worked on any day stands alone” as per current award.	Para 49	DC: See the solution at Issue 64.
67	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 28 30	Annual leave – note Note unnecessary	Para 50	DC: The Note provides the reader with useful information. For further discussion.
68	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 28.5(a) 30.5(a)	Annual Leave The word “functions” is relevant for correctly determining the application of that provision. Current clause 34.4 includes the word “functions”. It should be retained and inserted after the word “catering”.	Para 51	Clause updated DC: Accepted.
69	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 28.5 30.5	References to “unpaid leave” should be replaced with the original	Para 52	DC: Clause 28.5 30.5 refers throughout to “leave without pay” and

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				term of <i>leave without pay</i> .		defines the term “unpaid leave period” as the period for which leave without pay is to be taken. For further discussion.
70	Business SA	Sub-14/06/17	Cl. 28.5(a) 30.5(a)	Annual Leave Draft clause 28.5(a) 30.5(a) – special leave without pay arrangements for certain catering employees should be amended to reflect the current equivalent entitlements in the current clause 34.4.	Para 13.1	DC: The issue could be addressed by substituting “primary or” for “primary schools,” in clause 28.5(a) 30.5(a) . For further discussion.
71	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Cl. 33.3 36.3 and Cl. 33.4 36.4	Deductions for provision of employee accommodation and meals Draft clauses should reflect the value of the deduction is applied per meal provided to the employee and not per week.	Para 53	DC: The PLED reflects the current award. For further discussion.
72	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A	Schedule A The term “grade” should be replaced by the term “classification” in all relevant references.	Para 54	DC: It is appropriate to retain the term “grade” in the title of each classification.
73	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A	Wage levels in brackets should be included to meet the intention of the plain language re-drafting.	Para 55.	DC: The purpose of Schedule A is to define the classification terms which are used in Table 3 and where wage levels are assigned. It seems unnecessary to include wage levels as

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<p>part of the defined term.</p> <p>It is suggested that consideration be given to inserting a further Note to A.1 stating that clause 18 sets out minimum rates for each classification.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
74	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A	The word “and” should be retained in the draft of classification definitions.	Para 56	<p>DC: The use of “and” is not appropriate with the lead-in words “any of the following”.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
75	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.1	Original wording of the Food and beverage attendant grade 3 definition should be retained because it alters the intent and interpretation of the duty.	Para 57	<p>DC: The issue raised could be dealt with by deleting A.2.1(c), 2nd last dot point and inserting 2 new dot points as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • training food and beverage attendants of a lower classification; • supervising food and beverage attendants of a lower classification. <p>For further discussion.</p>
76	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.2	The words “of a lower classification” at the end of the Kitchen attendant grade 2 definition should be removed because it alters the intent and interpretation of the supervisory element.	Para 58	<p>Schedule updated.</p> <p>DC: Accepted.</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
77	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.2	The words “or who has the appropriate level of training” should not be included in the draft Cook grade 3 (tradesperson), Cook grade 4 (tradesperson) and Cook grade 5 (tradesperson) definitions.	Para 59	DC: The expression “or who has the appropriate level of training” could be omitted from A.2.2(f), (g) and (h) and in paragraph (h) the words “has completed additional appropriate training and ” could be inserted after “and who”. For further discussion.
78	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.3	Current Front office grades 1, 2, 3 and Supervisor definitions should be retained	Para 60	DC: Request that AHA specifies the material difference between the current and draft definitions. For further discussion.
79	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.5 & A.2.6	The words “and/or” should be retained in A.2.5(b) Timekeeper/security officer grade 2; A.2.6(a) Leisure attendant grade 1, A.2.6(b) Leisure attendant grade 2, A.2.6(c) Leisure attendant grade 3 and A.2.7(b) Storeperson grade 2 definitions.	Para 62	DC: The expression “and/or” is not acceptable in a plain language document.
80	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.7	Existing Storeperson grade 3 definition should be retained because it alters the intent of the classification.	Para 63	DC: The only material difference is the omission before “may exercise skills” of the words “exercises discretion within the scope of this classification and who”. If these words are regarded as important they could be included in A.2.7(c). In the second last item of A.2.7(c) “maintains” should be substituted for

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<p>“maintaining” and in the last item “supervises” and “records” should be substituted for “supervising” and “recording” respectively.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
81	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.2.8	Current provision in the Handyperson definition should be retained because the replacement words “for the employer’s workplace” may alter the intent and interpretation of this definition.	Para 64	<p>Schedule updated.</p> <p>DC: Agreed. Substitute “in and about the employer’s premises” for “for the employer’s workplace”.</p>
82	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.3.2 New Note	Draft Casino table gaming employee grade 4 definition should be amended to reflect the Higher Duties clause instead of clause 21 23 —Payment of Wages.	Para 65	<p>NOTE updated.</p> <p>DC: Agreed. The cross-reference should be to clause 20 22—Higher duties.</p>
83	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule A A.3.4(a)	The word “simular” should be replaced with “similar.”	Para 66	<p>Schedule updated.</p> <p>DC: Agreed. The word should be “similar”.</p>
84	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B B.1.1	The existing “Ordinary Hourly Rate” definition should be retained.	Para 67	<p>DC: The definition in Schedule B reflects that in clause 2.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
85	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B B.1.1	Schedule B.1.1 Note 1 and its unidentified all-purpose allowances reference could be confusing.	Para 68	<p>DC: If the definition of “ordinary hourly rate” is to be amended to exclude all purpose allowances then the Note should be amended to omit “forms part of the employee’s ordinary</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						<p>hourly rate and”.</p> <p>Otherwise the Note is helpful and should be retained.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
86	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B B.2	The term “general” in “general employees” reference should not be included.	Para 69	<p>DC: It is suggested that a Note be inserted at the beginning of Schedule B stating that references to general employees are to employees other than Managerial staff (Hotels) employees and casino gaming employees.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
87	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B	The ordinary, Saturday, Sunday and Public Holiday rates table should, where relevant, include an additional note that allowances may apply including a reference to the applicable clause and Schedule.	Para 70	<p>DC: The suggestion seems unnecessary in a Schedule that is intended only to summarise hourly rates of pay.</p> <p>For further discussion.</p>
88	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B	Overtime rates (except for those for casual employees) tables should include a reference that clause 26.3 28.3 —Time off instead of payment for overtime may apply.	Para 71	<p>Schedule updated.</p> <p>DC: Note added to B.2.2, B.3.3, B.4.3, B.6.2, B.7.3, B.8.4, B.8.6, B.9.3 and B.9.5 as follows:</p> <p>“Clause 26.3 28.3—Time off instead of payment for overtime allows employees and employers to agree in writing to the employee taking time off instead of being paid for</p>

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
						overtime.”
89	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule B B.4 B.5	B.4 B.5 provision should include a note that B.4.1 B.5.1 and B.4.2 B.5.2 do not apply to employees paid under clause 23 25 . In addition, the rates of B.4 B.5 are incorrect.	Paras 72 – 73	Schedule updated. Rates have been updated to reflect the AWR 2017. DC: Note added to B.5.1 and B.5.2 as follows: “Overtime and penalty rates are not payable to an employee to whom clause 23 25 applies.”
90	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule C C.3 & C.4	Sched C.3 should include a note that this provision does not apply to an employee paid under draft clause 22 24 and draft clause 23 25 . Sched C.4 should clarify the provision is not applicable to an employee paid under draft clause 23 25 .	Paras 74 – 75	Schedules C.3 and C.4 updated. DC: In C.3 a note has been added as follows: “Penalty rates are not payable to an employee to whom clause 23 25 applies and may not be payable to an employee to whom clause 22 24 applies.” In C.4 a note has been added as follows: “Deductions are not applicable to an employee to whom clause 23 25 applies.”
91	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule D	The words “or contract of training” should be reinserted after “training”	Para 76	DC: This suggestion is appropriate if there are jurisdictions that still refer to

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
				agreement” in Sched D.2 and Sched D.6 to recognise the varied states and territories descriptions of training arrangements.		a “contract of training” and not a “training agreement”. For further discussion.
92	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule D	Reference to “proportionate” entitlements in Sched D.10 should be replaced with “pro-rata” for consistency.	Para 77	DC: The word “proportionate” is more appropriate.
93	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	Schedule D	Wording in current Schedule G.12 should be wholly retained in the draft Schedule D.	Para 78	DC: It is to be noted that the term defined by current Schedule G.12 is not used in current Schedule G. For further discussion.
94	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	General	The term “will” has been replaced in the draft with the term “must” in a number of clauses. These replacements may alter the original intention and interpretation of those clauses.	Para 80	DC: The word “will” is not appropriate to impose an obligation.
95	AHA	Sub-13/06/17	General	General variations sought in its submission of 13 October 2016 should be considered prior to the finalisation of the plain language exposure draft because of the potential impact on clauses being re-written.	Para 81	