From: Sina Zevari [mailto:Sina.Zevari@Ablawyers.com.au]

Sent: Sunday, 28 January 2018 5:33 PM

To: Chambers - Hatcher VP

Cc: 'KEMP, James'; 'Stephen.bull@unitedvoice.org.au'; Leigh Svendsen;

'fogarty@denmanchambers.com.au'; Kairsty Wilson; 'cainpaul@icloud.com';

'craig.rawson@ags.gov.au'; 'abigail.cooper@ashurst.com'; Nigel Ward; 'chrisc@greenacres.net.au';

'MarkW@greenacres.net.au'; 'pfrench@disabilitylaw.org.au'; 'samanthaf@pwd.org.au';

'Anthony.rohr@maiwel.com.au'; 'Smith_c1@optusnet.com.au';

'Steve.burgess@flagstaffgroup.com.au'; 'Roy.rogers@flagstaffgroup.com.au'; 'Imooney@dsa.org.au'; 'mlcinitaly@gmail.com'; 'mjbuck2@telstra.com'; 'estelleshields@hotmail.com';

'hdickens@dsa.org.au'; 'kerrie.langford@nds.org.au'; 'bree.willsmore@dss.gov.au';

'johnharvey@greenacres.net.au'; 'marywalsh6@bigpond.com'; 'mpatrick@disabilitylaw.org.au';

'Skillsmaster275@outlook.com'; 'cnewbold@actu.org.au'; 'Rowena.Freeland@dss.gov.au'; Joe

 $Murphy; \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'Chris.D'SOUZA@dss.gov.au'; \ Emily \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'Chris.D'SOUZA@dss.gov.au'; \ Emily \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'Chris.D'SOUZA@dss.gov.au'; \ Emily \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'robk@accessindustries.com.au'; \ 'cwatts@actu.org.au'; \ 'cwatts@actu$

Slaytor; 'Paul Musso (paul.musso@nds.org.au)'; 'Claire Brattey'; 'jzadel@hwle.com.au';

'sryan@hwle.com.au'; 'Noni Lord'; AMOD; Julian Arndt

Subject: RE: AM2014/286 - SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES AWARD [ABLAW-ImanageDocs.FID135807]

Dear Associate

I refer to Ms Lord's email below.

We strongly object to the filing of this document.

Firstly, the document has not been filed in accordance with the Commission's directed timeframe as **attached**, and is almost three days late. We are unaware of AED Legal requesting a further extension at any stage, but any such extension request would also have in any event been opposed in circumstances where:

- we are one week from the commencement of the hearing; and
- multiple such extensions have been requested and/or granted to AED Legal previously.

Secondly, any tendering of these materials in circumstances where the deponent is unavailable to give evidence not only on 8 or 9 February (as directed by the Commission), but in fact at any stage during the two weeks scheduled for hearing, would clearly prejudice the other parties in terms of testing Mr Potter's evidence.

Kind regards

Sina Zevari

Senior Associate | Accredited Specialist – Employment & Industrial Law



Australian Business Lawyers & Advisors