REVISED SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

The table is a summary of submissions and reply submissions lodged on or before 5.00pm on 9 December 2020 based on the *Fast Food Industry*Award plain language exposure draft published on 28 October 2020.

A statement [2021] FWC 963 and a revised plain language exposure draft were published on 21 January 2021

This updated table reflects the outcome of the <u>conference</u> held on 12 February 2021 as set out in the statement [2021] FWCFB 858 and the revised plain language exposure draft published on 18 February 2021.

List of abbreviations (in alphabetical order)

ABI Australian Business Industrial and the NSW Business Chamber

Ai Group/AIG Australian Industry Group FFIA Fast Food Industry Award

SDA Shop Distributive and Allied Employees' Association

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
1	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	2	Definitions Notes that the PLED has deleted the words 'unless the contrary intention appear' in current award clause 3.1	Para 3	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Standard wording in all PLEDs. See drafter comments in Pharmacy PLED Submission Summary – 10 November 2016: Drafter comment: " The trend in legislative drafting has been to omit 'unless the contrary intention appears' from definition clauses as the case law clearly establishes that is the situation anyway without those additional words. Plain language experts also hold the view that inserting it creates a doubt in the mind of readers"

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
2	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	2	Definitions – adult employee Objects to definition of 'adult employee' as an employee who is 21 year of age or over. Definition prejudices rights of employees aged 18 and over who are recognised as adults in every other legal setting. SDA lists Australian jurisdictions recognising adults as 18 and over.	Para 4	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Disagree with SDA's sub that the proposed definition of 'adult employee' is 'prejudicial to the rights of employees aged 18 and over'.	Para 4	
3	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	2	Definitions – default fund employee Objects to the deletion of 'default fund employee'	Para 5	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary.
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits in response to SDA that is it appropriate to delete 'default fund employee' because is not used in the PLED.	Para 5	Part of 4 yearly review process: If a term in the current award is defined but not used anywhere in the award, the definition has been deleted. See Comparison of FFIA Current / ED published on 16/11/16.
4	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	2	Definitions – standard rate Objects to change in definition from weekly to hourly rate and the deletion of reference to the allowance. Proposes a 'standard' weekly rate' and 'standard hourly rate' definition.	Para 6	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Submits that the changes proposed to the definition of standard rate by SDA are not necessary. Notes each of the relevant allowances in PLED Schedule B are expressed as a percentage of the hourly standard rate.	Para 6	Reflects definition in Comparison of FFIA Current / ED published on 16/11/16.
5	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	3.4	The National Employment Standards and this award Objects to the deletion of words 'whichever makes them more accessible' from clause. Submits requirement ensures copy of NES is fit for purpose.	Para 10	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Part of 4 yearly review process. Matter dealt with in [2014] FWCFB 9412 at para [29].
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Submits in response to SDA that PLED cl.3.4 reflects the approach taken by the FWC across the awards system and departing from that approach is not warranted.	Para 7	
6	SDA	<u>Sub-25/11/20</u>	4.2	Coverage Objects to location of 'fast food industry' definition within the coverage clause. Submits definition be located in the definitions clause and referred to by reference in cl. 4.2	Para 8	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Definition of industry moved to clause 4— Coverage and a reference definition inserted into clause 2—Definitions per [2017] FWCFB 3433 at [339]
7	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	4.2(a)	Coverage Objects to replacing the words 'meals' and 'snacks' with 'food' due to concerns about altering the meaning of the coverage clause.	Paras 2-6	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 4.2(a) amended to:

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				Explains that not all 'food' constitutes a 'meal' or 'snack'. Submits using term 'food' may blur distinction between the fast food industry and the general retail industry (covered by the General Retail Industry Award 2020).		'food or meals, snacks or beverages sold to the public primarily for consumption away from the point of sale; or'
	ABI	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>		Objects to drafting of PLED cl. 4.2(a) because referring to 'food' generally in this context potentially broadens the scope of the definition. Submits reference should be to 'meals, snacks and/or beverages' as per the FFIA.	Para 2.1(a)	
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Agrees with ABI's subs regarding PLED cl. 4.2. Refers to its own concerns identified at paras 2 – 17 on 25/11/20.	Para 32	
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED coverage clause are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub.	Paras 3-6; 46-48	
				SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.		
8	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	4.2(b)	Coverage	Para 7-10	18/2/21: RESOLVED
				Objects to replacing the words 'take away		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11]
				foods' with 'food' due to concern it broadens the scope of the fast food industry and expands		PLED clause 4.2(b) amended to:
				the coverage of the award. Explains that 'take away foods' are a specific type of 'food' and not synonymous terms.		(b) 'food or take away foods and/or beverages packaged, sold or served in such a way as to allow them to be consumed away

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	ABI	Sub - 25/11/20		Objects to the general reference to 'food' in PLED cl. 4.2(b). Submits reference should be to 'take away foods' as per the FFIA.	Para 2.1(b)	from the point of sale should the customer so decide; or'
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Agrees with ABI's subs regarding PLED cl. 4.2. Refers to its own concerns identified at paras 2 – 17 on 25/11/20.	Para 32	
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED coverage clause are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub.	Paras 3-6; 46-48	
				SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.		
9	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	4.2(c)	Coverage Objects that the words 'inside the catering establishment' have not been retained in PLED cl. 4.2(c) due to concerns their deletion may alter the coverage of the award. Submits that the exclusion from award coverage is no longer confined to businesses that provide a sit down service <i>inside the catering establishment</i> and includes any that provide a sit down service <i>outside</i> the catering establishment.	Paras 11-17	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 4.2(c) amended to: (c) 'food or beverages sold or served in food courts, shopping centres or retail complexes, excluding coffee shops, cafes, bars and restaurants that primarily provide a sit-down service inside the catering establishment.'
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that AIG's objections are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub. SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.	Paras 3-6	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
10	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	4.3	Coverage Submits that cl. 4.5 and 4.6 of FFIA 2010 be retained in their entirety and located at cl. 4.3(a) and (b) of PLED	Para 9	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Agrees with SDA's subs about PLED cl. 4.3. Refers to its own subs at paras 18 – 22 of on 25/11/20.	Para 9	
	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>		Objects to PLED cl. 4.3(a) due to concerns it alters the award's coverage. Submits that by excluding the requirement that an employee be engaged in the performance of work for a <i>business</i> in the fast food industry, the coverage of an employee and their employer becomes instead dependent upon on whether the on-hire employee is working in the fast food industry.	Paras 18-22	
				Notes that the PLED does not stipulate that the award only covers a labour hire employer in respect of on-hire employees <i>while</i> they are engaged in the performance of relevant work in the industry.		
				Submits that the current FFIA clause 4.5 related to on-hire arrangements should be retained.		
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub –</u> 9/12/20		Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED coverage clause are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub. SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.	Paras 3-6; 46-48	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
11	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	4.4(d)	Coverage Submits that the exclusion under PLED cl. 4.4(d) of employers from the award's coverage extends beyond the exclusions currently provided under cl 4.2-4.4 of the FFIA. Submits most employers will be excluded based on their employ of at least one person excluded from award coverage by the Act.	Paras 23 and 24	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
				Submits that cl 4.4(d) should exclude employers from coverage in relation to the employees described at cl. 4.4(a)-4.4(c). Provides alternative wording.		
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED coverage clause are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub. SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.	Paras 3-6; 46-48	
12	ABI	Sub - 25/11/20	4.4(e)	Coverage Submits that the amendment of PLED cl. 4.4(e) to refer to the exclusion of employers 'covered' by the Hospitality Industry (General) Award 2020 and the General Retail Industry Award 2020, rather than employers operating in the hospitality and retail industries, is potentially confusing, particularly for employers operating under an enterprise agreement. Submits that FFIA cl. 4.1 is clear and should be retained.	Para 2.2	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that ABI's concern does not warrant redrafting of PLED 4.4(e).	33	
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that ABI's subs regarding cl. 4 broadly agree with its own and AIG's. and support the view that the changes offer little to no benefit.	Paras 46-48	
				SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.		
13	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	4.5	Coverage	Paras 25-27	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING
				Objects to the replacement of the words 'the employee' with 'it' in PLED cl 4.5 – creates ambiguity.		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11]
				Submits it is unclear if clause requires an assessment of the environment in which the work is normally performed or the environment in which the work is normally performed by the employee. Provides amended wording.		
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub –</u> 9/12/20		Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED coverage clause are broadly consonant with its own position in para 8 of its 25/11/20 sub.	Paras 3-6	
				SDA favours retaining FFIA current clause.		

Plain Language re-drafting – Fast Food Industry Award

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
14	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	7.2	Table 1—Facilitative provisions Submits that the references to clauses 10.5 and 10.7 in Table 1 at PLED cl.7.2 should be deleted because they're not facilitative provisions.	Para 28	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. References to PLED clauses 10.5 and 10.7 deleted.
15	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	10	Part-time employees Objects that cl. 10 of PLED does not contain an equivalent of cl.12.7 of FFIA regarding the minimum period for a rostered shift	Para 12	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	Reply Sub — 9/12/20		Opposes SDA's proposed amendment. Submits that FFIA and PLED do not contain substantive provisions requiring that employees must be rostered or that a roster must be prepared. Consequently, the reference to rostering in FFIA cl. 12.7 is anomalous and confusing. Submits removal of cl.12.7 is appropriate.	Para 10-11	
16	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	10	Part-time employees Objects that FFIA clause 12.8 is not retained in the PLED. Submits clause is a necessary protection to ensure part-time employees are treated as such.	Para 15	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Current award clause 12.8 is located at PLED clause 11.2 (Casual employees).
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that FFIA cl. 12.8 is reflected at PLED cl.11.2. Consequently, no amendment is necessary.	15-16	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
17	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	10.3	Part-time employees Submits that the words 'At time of engaging' lack clarity. Submits current words 'At time of first being employed should be retained'. Submits that cl. 10.3(c) of PLED should read 'the actual times' so as to fully reflect the	Para 11	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Does not consider SDA's proposed changes to PLED cl. 10.3 necessary but does not oppose them.	Para 12-13	
18	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	10.8	Part-time employees Submits that clause should reference the payment of penalty rates for part-time employees. Eg. 'in accordance with clause 15 – Minimum rates and clause 21.1 Penalty rates for each ordinary hour worked.'	Para 14	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits that SDA's proposed change is not necessary. Various other provisions may also be relevant to the amounts payable to part-time employees for ordinary hours of work (such as allowances). Referring to all those provisions would cause unnecessary complexity.	Para 14	
19	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	11.3	Casual employees Submits that NOTE 2 be better placed at cl. 11.3. Noting that FFIA cl. 13.2 provides for an additional 25% of the ordinary rate, rather than the minimum rate, submits that omitting a	Para 16	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				reference to penalty rate payments and overtime at PLED cl. 11.3 could change meaning.		
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>	11.3	Submits it is unclear whether the SDA objects to the placement of Note 2 and/or whether they have proposed a change to it. Intends to respond at conference if clarification is provided.	Para 17	
20	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	12.2	Classifications Objects that the words 'as determined by the employer' at the end of FFIA cl. 16.2 are omitted in PLED cl.12.2. Submits that omission make it unclear how the principal functions of the employee's employment are determined.	Paras 32-35	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	ABI	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>		Objects to the omission of the words 'as determined by the employer', as set out in FFIA cl. 16.2, from PLED cl. 12.2. Proposes alternative wording.	Para 2.3	
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Disagrees with AIG's objections at paras 32 – 35 of their 25/11/20 subs. Supports wording to emphasise that classifications are decided by an external objective test rather than the subjective judgement of the employer alone. Submits the PLED drafting aids clarity without changing the fundamental meaning of the	Paras 9-12; 49	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				provision. Noting objective test, disagrees that it is unclear how an employee's principal functions are determined.		
				Notes PLED cl. 12.1 states 'An employer must classify an employee covered by this award in accordance with clause 12.4.'; submits it can be argued that provision implies that employer has an unfettered discretion in determining classifications.		
				Submits that if the provision is retained, a clarificatory note should be inserted stating that the test is based on the skills used and duties actually performed.		
				Submits these arguments also apply to ABI's 25/11/20 subs re PLED clause 12.2.		
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Agrees with ABI's subs regarding PLED cl.12.2.	Para 34	
21	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	12.4	Classification definitions	Para 19	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING
				Objects to the changes to definitions could create ambiguity. Prefers current definitions – it is settled and clear and concise.		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Agrees with SDA's subs about PLED 12.4. Refers to its own subs about PLED cl. 12.4 on 25/11/2020.	Para 18	
22	ABI	Sub - 25/11/20	12.4(a)(i)	Classification definitions	Para 2.4	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING
				Objects to the omission of the word 'cooking' from the definition of 'fast food employee		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

Plain Language re-drafting – Fast Food Industry Award

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				level 1' at PLED cl. 12.4. Submits omission is not consistent with FFIA cl. B.1.1 and 'cooking' should be inserted at PLED cl. 12.4.		
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Agrees with ABI's subs regarding PLED cl.12.4. Refers to paras 32 – 50 of its own 25/11/20 sub.	Para 35	
	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>		Submits that 'cooking' should be listed as an activity at PLED cl. 12.4(a)(i) to clarify that such employees may be classified at level 1. Submits it is a significant activity and it is listed at B.1.1	Para 36-37	
23	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	12.4(a)(i)	Classification definitions Submits that the word 'food' in PLED cl.	Para 38	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11]
				12.4(a)(i) should be replaced with 'meals, snacks' (see item 7)		PLED clause 12.4(a)(i) amended in line with item 7
24	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	12.4(a)(i)	Classification definitions Objects to using the phrase 'food or beverages' in PLED cl. 12.4(a)(i) rather than 'food and/or beverages' because read literally it excludes employees undertaking the relevant activities in relation to both food <i>and</i> beverages. Submits 'or' should be replaced with 'and/or'	Paras 39 - 40	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 12.4(a)(i) amended to: 'food or meals, snacks and/or beverages
25	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	12.4(a)(i)	Classification definitions Submits that the word 'primarily' should be inserted after 'public' in PLED cl.12.4(a)(i). Submits use of the word 'primarily' in FFIA	Paras 41-44	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				cl. B.1.1 makes the 'take away' element of the definition less restrictive. Concerned PLED drafting does not also contemplate food or beverages consumed at the point of sale, despite being sold <i>primarily</i> for consumption away from the point of sale. Submits this creates uncertainty about whether fast food operators providing dine-in areas are covered by the award.		PLED updated. The word 'primarily' inserted after 'public' in PLED clause 12.4(a).
26	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	12.4(a)(i)	Classification definitions Submits that PLED cl. 12.4(a)(i) defines a level 1 employee more expansively than the FFIA does and introduces new undefined and unclear terminology such as the reference to 'retail complex'. Submits 'retail complex should be deleted'. Alternative wording provided.	Paras 45-47	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 12.4(a)(i) amended to: (i) Engaged in taking orders for consumption away from the point of sale or in a food court in a shopping centre or retail complex; and
27	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	12.4(b)(i)	Classification definitions Submits that the first 'or' in PLED cl. 12.4(b)(i) should be replaced with 'and/or'. Concerned that only using 'or' may exclude from award coverage employees responsible for both supervising level 1 employees and training new employees.	Paras 49 - 50	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 12.4(b)(i) amended to: Fast food employees level 1 and/or training
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20	12	Submits that AIG's objections to the PLED classification clause at paras 36-50 of their sub on 25/11/20 are broadly consonant with its own position in para 19 of its sub on 25/11/20	Paras 12-13	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				favouring the retention of current classification definitions.		
28	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	13.1	Ordinary hours of work and rostering Submits that a clause providing that a casual employee cannot work more than 38 hours each week should be inserted into the PLED. Submits absence of such a clause may effect the calculation of superannuation entitlements and give rise to the issue of whether section 147 of the Fair Work Act 2009 has been satisfied. Suggested clause provided.	Paras 51 - 52	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>	13.1	Supports AIG's subs at paras 51 and 52 that a clause should be inserted clarifying that casual employees cannot work more than an average of 38 hours per week (averaged over a period of no more than four weeks).	Para 15	
29	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	13.2(a)- 13.2(d)	Ordinary hours of work and rostering Submits that the word 'ordinary' should be inserted before 'hours' in PLED cl. 13.2(a) – (d) so it's clear cl. 13.2 concerns the arrangement of ordinary hours.	Para 53	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'ordinary' before hours in PLED clauses 13.2(a) – (d).
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Not opposed to AIG's proposal at para 53 of their sub on 25/11/20 to insert the word 'ordinary' before hours in cl. 13.2(a) to (d) inclusive.	Para 16	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
30	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	14.4	Breaks Submits that PLED cl. 14.4 results in substantial variation from the FFIA by creating a new obligation on employers requiring that they must seek to ensure that the employee has meaningful breaks during work hours. Submits cl. 14.4 should be deleted or replaced with the FFIA cl. 27.1(b).	Paras 54 - 55	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Opposes AIG's proposal to replace PLED cl. 14.4 with FFIA cl. 27.1(b). Submits that employers always had to provide breaks in a way which was meaningful to the employees and the word 'must' in PLED cl.14.4 merely clarifies the current entitlement.	Paras 17-18	
31	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	15.1	Adult rates Objects to using the term 'adult rates' due to concerns about resulting ambiguity and inconsistency with the usual legal meaning of 'adult' (see item 2). Submits the term 'ordinary rate' is sufficient.	Para 20	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Disagrees with SDA's sub that the reference to 'adult' rates in PLED 15.1 requires amendment.	Para 19	
32	SDA	<u>Sub-25/11/20</u>	15.1	Adult rates Submits that including the following note linking the hourly rate to the minimum weekly rate would add clarity:	Para 21	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				'The minimum hourly rate is 1/38th of the minimum weekly rate'		PLED clause 15.1 amended to include new note as follows and the two existing notes be renumbered to 2 and 3:
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Not opposed to SDA's proposal to insert a note or footnote explaining the basis upon which the minimum hourly rates have been derived.	Para 20	NOTE 1: The minimum hourly rate is 1/38th of the minimum weekly rate.
33	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	15.2	Junior rates	Para 22	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING
				Objects to the use of the term 'adult rate' (see items 2 and 41).		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits in response to SDA that the reference in PLED cl.15.2 to 'adult' rates does not require amendment.	21	
34	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	15.2	Junior rates	Para 56	18/2/21: RESOLVED
				Submits that the word 'or' in Table 4 should be replaced with 'of age'.		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'or' replaced with
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Supports AIG's proposal at para 56 of their sub on 25/11/20 to replace the word 'or' in Table 4 with 'of age'.	Para 19	the words 'of age' in PLED clause 15.2.
35	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	17.2	Broken Hill allowance	Para 27	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING
				Suggests including a note showing the quantum as a percentage (ie. 4.28% of the standard hourly rate) to add clarity		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits SDA 's proposed changes to the Broken Hill allowance are not necessary in light of the approach taken in PLED Schedule B.	Para 22	Part of 4 yearly review process: percentages moved from Allowances clause to Monetary Allowances Schedule (FFIA PLED Schedule B).
36	SDA	<u>Sub-25/11/20</u>	17.3	Cold work allowance Suggests including a note showing the quantum as a percentage (ie. 1.3% and 2% of the standard hourly rate respectively) to add clarity.	Para 26	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Part of 4 yearly review process: percentages moved from Allowances clause to Monetary Allowances Schedule (FFIA PLED Schedule B)
	Ai Group	Reply Sub — 9/12/20		Submits SDA 's proposed changes to the Cold Work allowance are not necessary in light of the approach taken in PLED Schedule B.	Para 23	
37	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	17.4	Meal allowance Objects to drafting of PLED cl. 17.4 as it creates ambiguity. Submits that FFIA cl.19.1 is clearer and should be retained.	Para 23	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Not opposed to SDA's proposal.	Para 25	
38	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	17.5	Special clothing allowance Submits that the term 'special clothing' should be inserted in cl. 17.5 as follows: 'If an employer requires an employee to wear any article of clothing, such as a uniform,	Para 24	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				dress, protective, special or other clothing, then the employer must:'		
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Not opposed to SDA's proposed amendment to the special clothing allowance.	Para 25	
39	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	17.6(a)(ii)	Travelling time reimbursement Objects to PLED cl. 17.6(a)(ii). Submits that contrary to the FFIA provisions, cl. 17.6(a)(ii) requires payment for any additional costs, potentially incorporating costs other than fares, without regard to whether they were reasonably incurred. Submits that the PLED is not clear that determining 'additional' costs involves a comparison against the fares that would reasonably be incurred in travel to and from the employee's usual place of work. Provides alternative wording.	Para 58 - 59	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Disagrees with AIG's 25/11/20 sub at para 57 that PLED cl.17.6(a)(ii) is more expansive than the current provision at cl.19.4(a) of the FFIA.	Para 20	
40	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	17.7(b)	Transport of employee reimbursement Objects to PLED cl. 17.7(b) because its reference to 'commercial passenger vehicle' may encompass ride sharing options, certain types of bus services and hire cars. Submits this is substantive change to the award because	Paras 60 - 61	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				FFIA cl. 19.7(a) is limited to reimbursement for taxi fares only.		
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Disagrees with AIG's subs at paras 60-61 on 25/11/20 that the PLED provides a much broader entitlement to employees for reimbursement of travel costs compared to the current Award. Submits that the PLED's drafting is consonant with societal developments to commercial transport services and outlines benefits of new services, particularly cost efficiency and safety. Submits that concerns the drafting may encompass certain bus services and hire cars is not realistic given the entitlement applies to employees finishing after 10.00pm or before 7.00am. Suggests inserting words to the effect of 'equivalent to a taxi' at the end of PLED cl.17.7(b) as an option to mitigate concern. Notes similar wording was adopted at cl.19.8 of the Pharmacy Industry Award 2020	Paras 21-25	
41	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	17.7(b)(ii)	Transport of employee reimbursement Submits that the words following the comma should appear in a separate line below PLED cl. 17.7(b)(ii). They are to be read with cl. 17.2(b)(i) - 17.2(b)(ii).	Para 62	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 17.7(b) amended to: 'The employer must reimburse the employee, as applicable, for any cost they reasonably incur in taking a commercial passenger vehicle: (i) from their usual place of residence to their place of work; or
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Supports AIG's sub at para 62 on 25/11/20 regarding the placement of the comma.	Para 26	

Plain Language re-drafting – Fast Food Industry Award

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
						(ii) from their place of work to their usual place of residence, whichever is applicable.'
42	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	17.8	Motor vehicle allowance Objects to using the term 'requires' in PLED cl.17.8 instead of 'requests' as per the current FFIA provision. Submits that change restricts the allowance.	Para 25	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'requires' has been replaced with 'requests' in PLED clause 17.8.
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Not opposed SDA's proposed amendment to the motor vehicle allowance because it seeks to reinstate the language used in the FFIA provision.	Para 26	
43	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	18	Accident pay Objects that the definition for injury at FFIA cl. 20.1(b) is not retained in the PLED cl.18.	Para 29	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary.
	Ai Group	Reply Sub — 9/12/20		Submits in response to SDA that the definition of 'injury' has not been deleted, it's reflected at PLED cl. 18.2(c).	Para 27	Current award clause 20.1(b) is at PLED clause 18.2(c).
44	SDA	<u>Sub-25/11/20</u>	18.3(d)	Accident pay - Entitlement to the payment Notes that cl. 18.3(d) allows for the FWC to adjudicate for matters beyond a declaration of bankruptcy or liquidation.	Para 28	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. Reference in Note has been amended to be '18.3(d)(ii)'.
45	Ai Group	Sub – 25/11/20	18.3(d)	Accident pay - Entitlement to the payment Objects to the drafting of PLED cl. 18.3(d) on basis that it is substantively different to FFIA.	Para 63-65	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [15]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				Submits that, contrary to the FFIA provisions, an employee's entitlement to accident pay would continue on the termination of their employment if the termination was because of the employer's bankruptcy or the liquidation of the employer's business.		
				Also submits that requirement for an employee's entitlement to be referred to the FWC for determination has been excluded. Submits that PLED cl. 18.3(d)(ii) should be replaced with FFIA cl. 20.3(b)(ii) and the note deleted.		
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Disagrees with AIG's objection to PLED cl.18.3(d). Submits that the PLED's drafting merely clarifies the entitlement to accident pay in the case of bankruptcy and/or liquidation and does not preclude the employer (or liquidator) from seeking a determination from the FWC.	Para 27-28	
46	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	20.5	Minimum payment on a Sunday	Para 66-68	18/2/21: RESOLVED
				Submits that FFIA cl. 26.4 does not distinguish between rostered ordinary hours and other		See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED undated. The words 'a roster of'
				ordinary hours and the FFIA does not mandate the preparation or provision of a roster. Consequently, the reference to a 'roster' in the note at PLED cl. 20.5 is confusing and causes ambiguity. Submits that the words 'a roster of' should be deleted.		PLED updated. The words 'a roster of' deleted from the Note in PLED clause 20.5.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Supports AIG's objection at para 67 of their 25/11/20 sub to the insertion of the words 'rostered hours' in PLED cl. 20.5 on basis that the FFIA currently only refers to ordinary hours.	Para 29-30	
47	ABI	Sub - 25/11/20	20.5	Minimum payment on a Sunday Submits that the reference to 'a roster of ordinary hours' in the note at PLED cl. 20.5 is confusing. Suggests amending to 'a rostered shift of ordinary hours'.	Para 2.5	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated in line with item 46 above.
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Oppose ABI's proposed change to PLED 20.5 Refers to paras 66 – 68 of its own sub on 25/11/20.	Para 36	
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		SDA submitted it objects to the insertion of 'rostered hours' in AIG's submission and therefore to ABI's 25/11/20 subs regarding PLED clause 20.	Para 50	
48	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	20.6	Overtime rates Submits that PLED cl. 20.6 and Table 5 are not clear that each day stands alone when calculating overtime rates on Monday to Saturday. Concerned overtime rates are susceptible to being interpreted as calculated on some other basis, such as a weekly basis. Provides a proposed new clause clarifying the calculation of overtime worked Monday to Saturday.	Para 69-71	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause 20.6 renumbered as 20.6(a) and 20.6(b) inserted as follows: 'The overtime rates prescribed in clause 20.6(a) for overtime work on Monday to Saturday are to be calculated on the basis that each day's work stands alone.'

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Supports AIG's concerns that PLED cl.20.5 is ambiguous because the PLED omits provisions equivalent to FFIA cl.26.1(a) and (b) specifying that overtime rates on Monday to Saturday apply 'on any one day'.	Para 31-32	
49	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	22.2	Additional paid annual leave for certain shiftworkers Objects to PLED cl. 22.2 due to concern that, contrary to FFIA cl. 28.2, the shiftworker definition is not confined to seven day shiftworkers and as a result the additional leave entitlement is broader. Submits that the words 'seven day' should be inserted before 'shiftworker'.	Para 72 - 73	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The words have been '7-day' inserted before 'shiftworker' in PLED clause 22.2.
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		States that AIG at para 72 of their sub rightly noted the changes in the definition of shiftworker at PLED cl. 22.2.	33-34	
50	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	22.2	Additional paid annual leave for certain shiftworkers Submits PLED cl. 22.2 should be deleted because the award does not contemplate the performance of shiftwork and consequently cl. 22.2 has no work to do.	Para 74	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Opposes AIG's proposal at para 74 to delete the term 'shiftworker' because, despite the Award's silence regarding shiftwork,	35	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				employees may be rostered in such a way as to qualify them as shiftworkers.		
51	SDA	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>	22.2	Additional paid annual leave for certain shiftworkers Submits that the reference to 'continuous' at PLED cl 22.2 is substantially different to the reference to 'regularly' in the FFIA and changes the substantive meaning of the provision. Supports retaining the current FFIA definition.	33-34	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
52	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	22.3	Annual leave loading Submits that the retention of the term 'loading' as opposed to 'additional payment' improves clarity at PLED cl. 22.3(a) - (b) respectively.	Para 32	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Refers to paras $75 - 79$ of its own sub on $25/11/20$.	28	
53	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	22.3	Annual leave loading Submits that PLED cl. 22.3(a) and 22.3(b) both state that an employee is entitled to an additional payment for accrued annual leave. Cl. 22.3(b) goes on to prescribe the quantum of the additional amount payable. While not abundantly clear what those amounts are to be paid in addition to, read alongside the NES, it appears that the PLED is purporting to require the payment of the prescribed amounts in addition to the employee's base rate of pay. An example of the application of PLED cl.	Para 75-78	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				22.3(b)(i) is provided and it is noted that a similar outcome would flow from cl. 22.3(b)(ii). Submits that FFIA cl.28.3(b) lacks clarity, however it is not intended to operate in the manner reflected in the PLED. Submits that cl. 22.3 should be amended to resolve issues.		
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Notes that AIG queries the operation of the annual leave loading at paras 75 to 78 of their sub. Submits that the FFIA cl.28.3(b) is sufficiently clear and emphasises its view that the term 'loading' rather than 'additional payment' should be used.	Paras 38-39	
54	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	22.3(b)(ii)	Annual leave loading Submits that cl. 22.3 should be amended to resolve issues. This may include deleting cl. 22.3(b)(ii) given award does not contemplate shiftwork.	Para 79	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Opposes AIG's proposal at para 79 to delete PLED cl.22.3(b)(ii) because, despite the Award's silence regarding shiftwork, employees may be rostered in such a way as to qualify them as shiftworkers.	Para 36	
55	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	22.6(a)	Excessive leave accruals: general provision Submits that if PLED cl 22.2 is deleted in accordance with earlier submissions (see item	Para 80	18/2/21: OUTSTANDING See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [12]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
				51), the reference to it in clause 22.6(a) should also be removed.		
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Opposes AIG's proposal at para 80 to delete the reference at PLED 22.6(a) to cl.22.2 because, despite the Award's silence regarding shiftwork, employees may be rostered in such a way as to qualify them as shiftworkers.	36	
56	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	22.8(d)	Excessive leave accruals: request by employee for leave Submits that the reference in PLED 22.8(d) to c1 22.8(b) should be replaced with a reference to c1. 22.8(a).	Para 81	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. Reference changed to '22.8(a)' as per the current award.
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Supports AIG's view at para 81 of their submission that the reference in PLED cl.22.8(d) should be amended.	Para 40	
57	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	22.8(e)	Excessive leave accruals: request by employee for leave The reference in PLED cl. 22.8(e) to cl. 22.8(b) should be replaced with a reference to cl. 22.8(a).	Para 82	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. Reference changed to '22.8(a)' as per the current award.
	SDA	<u>Sub-25/11/20</u>		Notes an internal reference error at 22.8(e). Submits reference should be 22.8(a) as per FFIA clause 28.8(e).	Para 34	
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Supports AIG's view at para 82.	Para 40	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	Ai Group	Reply Sub – 9/12/20		Agrees with SDA's submission.	Para 29	
58	SDA	Sub-25/11/20	26	Unpaid family and domestic violence leave Objects to referring to the NES and the deleting of the family and domestic violence leave clause (FFIA cl. 32). Submits that retaining current clause adds clarity.	Para 35	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] No change necessary. Standard clause inserted into all exposure
	Ai Group	<u>Reply Sub – 9/12/20</u>		Submits PLED cl.26 is consistent with the FWC's approach across the awards system and a previous decision in this regard. Departing from that approach is not warranted.	Para 30	drafts in accordance with [2019] FWCFB 5144 at [13].
59	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	32.1(c)	Redundancy Due to a drafting error, the reference in PLED cl. 32.1(c) to clause 32.1(b)(i) should be replaced with a reference to clause 32.1(b)(ii).	Para 83	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. Changed to '32.1(b)(ii)' as per the current award.
	SDA	<u>Reply Sub –</u> <u>9/12/20</u>		Supports AIG's submission at para 83.	Para 41	
60	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	32.3(c)	Redundancy Due to a drafting error, the reference in PLED cl. 32.3(c) to cl. 32.3(a) should be replaced with a reference to cl. 32.3(b).	Para 84	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. Typographical error — Changed to '32.2(b)' as per the current
	SDA	Sub-25/11/20		Reference at the end of PLED cl. 32.3(c) should read 32.3(b).	Para 17	award.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
61	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	A.1.1	Full-time and part-time adult employees—ordinary and penalty rates The heading of the third column should be amended by replacing the word 'and' with 'to'. Apparent drafting error.	Para 85	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'between' inserted before '10.00 pm' in column 3 of A.1.1 to reflect current award wording at clause 25.5. Reflects the wording of the current award and the FWO rates spreadsheet. Consequential amendment to PLED clause 21.1 – updated to reflect wording at current award 25.5.
62	Ai Group	<u>Sub – 25/11/20</u>	A.2.1	Casual adult employees—ordinary and penalty rates The heading of the third column should be amended by replacing the word 'and' with 'to'. Apparent drafting error.	Para 86	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'between' inserted before '10.00 pm' in column 3 of A.2.1 to reflect current award wording at clause 25.5.
63	Ai Group	Sub - 25/11/20	A.3.2	Full-time and part-time junior employees—ordinary and penalty rates The heading of the third column should be amended by replacing the word 'and' with 'to'. Apparent drafting error.	Para 87	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7] PLED updated. The word 'between' inserted before '10.00 pm' in column 3 of A.3.2 to reflect current award wording at clause 25.5.
64	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	A.3.4	Casual junior employees—ordinary and penalty rates The heading of the third column should be amended by replacing the word 'and' with 'to'. Apparent drafting error.	Para 88	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [7]

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (PLED)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REF	NOTES
	SDA	Reply Sub – 9/12/20	Schedule A	Notes the drafting errors in Schedule A identified at paras 85-88 of AIG's sub and adds no further submissions.	Para 43	PLED updated. The word 'between' inserted before '10.00 pm' in column 3 of A.3.4 to reflect current award wording at clause 25.5.
65	Ai Group	<u>Sub - 25/11/20</u>	B.2.1	Expense-related allowances Submits that the references in PLED cl. B.2.1 to 'per meal' should be replaced with 'per occasion' because the allowance is not payable by reference to each meal consumed, rather the entitlement arises each occasion the relevant criteria are satisfied.	Para 90	18/2/21: RESOLVED See [2021] FWCFB 858 at [11] PLED clause B.2.1 amended to replace all references to 'per meal' with 'per occasion'.