## Information note-Data on the Aged Care Award 2010

This note provides data on the Aged Care Award 2010. There are 4 levels within the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) structure: division, subdivision, group and class. The most readily available data are at the division level (or 1-digit level) and the following data are presented at this level. In this instance, the relevant division of ANZSIC is Division Q: Health care and social assistance. The following presents the subdivisions, groups and classes within the Health care and social assistance sector:

- 84 Hospitals
- 840 Hospitals
- 8401 Hospitals (except psychiatric hospitals)
- 8402 Psychiatric hospitals
- 85 Medical and other health care services
- 851 Medical services
- 8511 General practice medical services
- 8512 Specialist medical services
- 852 Pathology and diagnostic imaging services
- 8520 Pathology and diagnostic imaging services
- 853 Allied Health Services
- 8531 Dental services
- 8532 Optometry and optical dispensing
- 8533 Physiotherapy services
- 8534 Chiropractic and osteopathic services
- 8539 Other allied health services
- 859 Other Health Care Services
- 8591 Ambulance services
- 8599 Other health care services n.e.c.
- $\quad 86$ Residential care services
- 860 Residential care services
- 8601 Aged care residential services
- 8609 Other residential care services
- 87 Social assistance services
- $\quad 871$ Child care services
- 8710 Child care services
- 879 Other social assistance services
- 8790 Other social assistance services

The Aged care industry is determined based on a framework developed by Fair Work Commission staff. ${ }^{1}$ Using this framework, the Aged Care Award 2010 is 'mapped' to the Aged care residential services industry class. The August 2016 Census data show that there were around 208000 employees in the Aged care industry, which comprises around 90 per cent of employment in Residential care services and around 16 per cent of total employment in Health care and social assistance. ${ }^{2}$

### 1.1 Forms and conditions of employment

The ABS defines casual employees as employees without paid leave entitlements. ${ }^{3}$
Just under three-quarters of workers in Health care and social assistance were employees with paid leave entitlements in February 2019, compared with 63.2 per cent in all industries (Table 1).

Table 1: Employed persons by status of employment in main job, February 2019

|  | Health care and social assistance |  | All industries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. ('000s) | Percentage of employment | Percentage of employment |
| Employee | 1537.2 | 90.5 | 83.3 |
| With paid leave entitlements | 1248.0 | 73.5 | 63.2 |
| Without paid leave entitlements | 289.1 | 17.0 | 20.1 |
| Owner manager of enterprise with employees | 55.5 | 3.3 | 6.2 |
| Owner manager of enterprise without employees | 104.6 | 6.2 | 10.3 |
| Contributing family worker | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total | 1698.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: All data are expressed in original terms.

Source: ABS, Labour Force, Australia, Detailed, Quarterly, Feb 2019, Catalogue No. 6291.0.55.003.
Around 19 per cent of employees in Health care and social assistance were casual employees, lower than the all industries average ( 24.2 per cent). Both full-time and part-time employees in Health care and social assistance were more likely to be employed with paid leave entitlements. In contrast, part-time employees across all industries were more likely to be casual employees.

[^0]Table 2: Employees with and without paid leave, February 2019

|  | Full-time |  | Part-time |  | All employees |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With paid <br> leave <br> (\%) | Without <br> paid leave <br> (\%) | With paid <br> leave <br> $(\%)$ | Without <br> paid leave <br> $(\%)$ | With paid <br> leave <br> (\%) | Without <br> paid leave |
|  | (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: ABS, Labour Force, Australia, Detailed, Quarterly, Feb 2019, Catalogue No. 6291.0.55.003.

### 1.2 Low-paid employees in the Aged Care Award 2010

A threshold of two-thirds of median full-time wages provides 'a suitable and operational benchmark for identifying who is low paid', ${ }^{4}$ within the meaning of $\mathrm{s} .134(1)(\mathrm{a})$.

The most recent data for median earnings is for August 2018 from the ABS Characteristics of Employment (CoE) survey. Data on median earnings are also available from the Survey of Employee Earnings and Hours (EEH) for May 2018. These are compared to the minimum weekly wages in the Aged Care Award 2010 as determined in the Annual Wage Review 2017-18, effective 1 July 2018 (Chart 1).

The data shows that the full-time weekly wage for all classifications in the Aged Care Award 2010 was below the EEH measure of two-thirds of median full-time earnings. Most classifications were also below the CoE measure of two-thirds of median full-time earnings, except for Aged care employee Levels 7 and 8 .

[^1]Chart 1: Comparison of minimum full-time weekly wages in the Aged Care Award 2010 and two-thirds of median full-time earnings


Note: Weekly earnings from the Characteristics of Employment Survey are earnings in the main job for full-time employees. Weekly earnings from the Survey of Employee Earnings and Hours are weekly total cash earnings for full-time nonmanagerial employees paid at the adult rate.

Source: MA000018; ABS, Characteristics of Employment, Australia, August 2018, Catalogue No. 6333.0; ABS, Employee Earnings and Hours, Australia, May 2018, Catalogue No. 6306.0.
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