SUMMARY OF PROPOSED VARIATIONS

This table is a summary of proposed variations lodged for this award on or before 5.00pm on 18 May 2016.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
1.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	1.2		Commencement clause Suggest removing 'as varied' from clause.	Para 10 and 11 page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Appears to be merit in NFF's submission that proposed wording could indicate that variations operate retrospectively – not opposed to amendment	Para 13 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Agree with NFF	Para 269	
2.	NFF	<u>Sub-14Apr16</u>	2.1		NES 'NES' does not need to be referred to in full	Page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose NFF submission.	Para 270	
3.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	2.1		NES Description should indicate that NES also operates for benefit of employers.	Page 3	Proposed clause wording supplied
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF - This is a standard award clause settled by [2014] FWCFB 9412	Page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – These provisions have already been debated and determined by the Full Bench on a general level [[2014] FWCFB 9412]. Also concerned with proposed wording –	Para 16 page 3	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					the amendment would conflate the concept of an award 'covering' employees and an award 'applying' to employees.		
4.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	2.3	4	NES Clause should be amended to reflect current award and make provisions for situations where there is no noticeboard or internet coverage.	Page 4	Proposed clause wording supplied
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF - This is a standard award clause settled by [2014] FWCFB 9412	Page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not support specific amendment proposed by NFF given the proposed deletion of a reference to "electronic means". Party suggests alternative wording and submits a consistent approach should be adopted across all awards.	Paras 271 – 273	Proposed clause wording supplied.
5.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	3.2(a)		In response to: Parties are asked to confirm the currency of the terms "Cane Protection and Productivity Boards" and "Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations" Cane Protection and Productivity Boards are referred to Prod Services and Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations is known as Sugar Research Australia.	Page 1	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			'Cane Production and Productivity Boards' should be replaced with 'Productivity Services'. 'Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations' should be replaced with 'Sugar Research Australia'	Page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16			'Cane Protection and Productivity Boards' and 'Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations' should be replaced with 'Local Productivity Services' and 'Sugar Research Australia' respectively.	Para 20.1	
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			Existing terms remain relevant.	Page 2	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Terms "Local Productivity Services" and "Sugar Research Australia" appear to be current relevant terms	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC and ABI&NSWBC - Agree with reference "Cane Production and Productivity Boards" being replaced with "Productivity Services" and "Bureau of Sugar Experiment Stations" being replaced with "Sugar Research Australia"	Para 3 page 1	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose suggestions by ASMC, ABI and NFF.	Para 274	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
6.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	3.2(b)-(e)	4	In response to: Parties are asked to consider whether the terminology in clauses 3.2(b)-(e) should be consistent with the definitions in Schedule I—Definitions Terminology in Schedule I should be consistent. Suggested terminology: Clause 3.2 (b) Sugar Milling Clause 3.2 (c) Sugar Refineries Clause 3.2 (d) Sugar Distilleries	Page 1	
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			Clause 3.2 (e) Bulk Sugar Terminals Endorses comments of ASMC	Page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	<u>Sub-15Apr16</u>			Terminology should be consistent	Para 20.2-3	Amended definition of 'sugar industry' supplied.
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			There is no need to link coverage of the Exposure Draft in clause 3 to the sector definitions in Schedule I	Page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In reply to ABI&NSWBC – any amendments to this clause need to be carefully considered to ensure existing coverage is not disturbed.	Para 27 page 4 and 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU and would be strongly opposed to any transferring of Schedule I dewfinitions to award's coverage terms that would change definition of <i>sugar industry</i> and therefore coverage of award. Coverage terms	Paras 275 – 278	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					should be preserved and align Schedule I definitions accordingly.		
7.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	3.4		Coverage Clause should be moved to a subsection of clause 3.7	Page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Do not oppose moving clause 3.4 under the heading at clause 3.7	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF - Submits there is some merit to this amendment but it is not clear that it will make the award any clearer.	Para 17 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose NFF's proposal.	Para 279	
8.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	3.5, 3.6		Coverage References to 'the industry set out in clauses 3.1 and 3.2' should be replaced with 'the sugar industry'	Page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – These are standard award clauses and should not be varied.	Page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF - Submits there is some merit to this amendment but it is not clear that it will make the award any clearer.	Para 17 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Not opposed to NFF re clause 3.5. Re clause 3.6, party does not agree with NFF changes as it would result in the	Paras 280 – 282	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					deletion of the words "and/or parts of industry" which would be a substantive change.		
9.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	3.7		Coverage The Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth) need not be spelled out in full	Page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – These are standard award clauses and should not be varied.	Page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF - Submits there is some merit to this amendment but it is not clear that it will make the award any clearer.	Para 17 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Do not oppose NFF's proposal	Para 283	
10.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	3.8		Coverage Clauses 3.3 and 3.8 are in conflict. Clause 3.8 should operate subject to clause 3.3	Page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – Clause 3.3 specifies where the Sugar Award does not have coverage, while 3.8 is where more than one award has coverage. These do not seem incompatible.	Page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not consider there to be a conflict between clauses 3.3 and 3.8 and supports retention of the two clauses.	Paras 284 – 285	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
11.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	5		Facilitative provisions Clause is unnecessary. If it is to be included, list should be complete.	Page 5	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			This is a standard award clause settled by [2014] FWCFB 9412	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Inclusion of facilitative provisions is a matter which has been debated and determined on a general level [[2014] FWCFB 9412]. See no need to depart from the general approach	Para 18 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Reference to model flexibility term and clause 6.6(g) should not be inserted into clause 5.2.	Paras 286 – 288	
12.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	6.1(a)		Types of employment It may be preferable to delete the term "maximum" and use a facilitative provision if necessary in parts of the Exposure Draft which allow for agreement over weekly ordinary hours.	Page 2	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agrees with the AWU submission that the word "maximum" could be deleted from this clause	Para 8 page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Oppose proposed AWU amendment as it may substantively affect interaction between clauses 6.1 and 6.2.	Paras 289 – 290	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
13.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	6.1(b)		Types of employment The word 'seasonal' should be deleted.	Pages 2-3	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Does not agree with AWU that the word "seasonal' should be deleted from the definition	Para 9 page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Opposes deletion of term "season" as proposed by AWU and ED wording should be retained.	Para 291	
14.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	6.2(e)	10.3(e)	In response to: Parties are asked whether a provision that limits the maximum number of hours of a part-time employee to less than 38 is permissible. For consistency recommend that the maximum number of ordinary hours of work permissible for a part-time employee is 38 hours per week.	Page 1	
	ABIU	Sub-14Apr16			Restrictions on hours of work are not about permitted matters under s.139 of the FW Act	Page 5	
	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16			Such a provisions does not appear to be inconsistent with s.139 or s.62(b) of the FW Act	Para 20.4	
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			Restrictions on hours of work are not about permitted matters under the Act.	Para 29-33 page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			No impediment to having a maximum number of ordinary hours of less than 38 for part-time employees.	Page 3	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Relies on initial submission in response to AWU.	Para 10 page 3	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Supports submissions which state that provision is not prohibitive.	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC - Opposes maximum part time ordinary hours for refinery employees being increased from 32 per week to 38. Argues this is a substantive change. See no impediment to an award referring to a maximum of less than 38 hours per week. In response to NFF – disagrees with submission. S.139(1)(c) of the Act permits an award to include terms about hours of work. S.147 states an award must include terms specifying the ordinary hours of work for each type of employment. In response to ABI&NSWBC – agrees there is no legislative impediment to the ordinary hours specified in the award.	Para 4 page 1 and 2 Para 19 page 4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose ASMC and further endorses submissions of NFF in respect of s.139(1)(c).	Para 292	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
15.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	6.2(g)		Part-time employment Amend clause to read 'must be paid for ordinary hours work at least the minimum hourly rate for the class of work performed.'	Page 3	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Does not agree with the AWU submission. Other terms of the award will have the effect of ensuring that a casual employee is paid at least the minimum hourly rate.	Para 11, page 3	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub – 6May16			Oppose AWU. Words "at least would materially change effect of provision and potentially create uncertainty for employers as to what actually applies.	Page 11, para 20.1	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not view AWU's proposal as necessary.	Para 293	
16.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	6.3	10.4	Casual employment Party submits that casual employees are required – i.e. casual watchmen, Technical Field department employees.	Page 1	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Submits issue has been referred to AM2014/196 as per directions 29/06/15	Page 2	
17.	FWO	Corro- 02/03/15	6.3	11.3(a)	Piecework Rate Query whether the calculation of the minimum piece rate (clause 20.2) for casual employees should include the casual loading and, if so, how the casual loading and the additional 20% piecework loading interact.	Item 37 page 9	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	<u>Sub-14Apr16</u>			Loadings payable to a casual pieceworker	Page 5	
					are separate and distinct amounts. Both		
					the casual loading and the piecework		
					loading are calculated on the minimum		
					hour rate and added to any other penalty,		
					but are not compounded.		
	AWU	ReplySub-			In response to NFF – the casual loading	Para 20 page 4	
		<u>5May16</u>			is clearly included in the piecework		
					calculation given the casual loading		
					forms part of a casual employee's		
					ordinary time rate (see also cl 12.3)		
18.	AWU	<u>Sub-17Apr16</u>	6.3(d)(i)		Casual employment – casual loading	Para 18, pg 3	
					To cater for additional rates under the		
					ED, suggests first sentence be amended		
					to read "For each hour worked a casual		
					employee must be paid at least"		
	NFF	Reply-sub-			Does not agree that the words "at least"	Para 12 page 3	
		<u>5May16</u>			should be included in this clause.		
	AIG	Reply sub –			Does not view AWU's proposal as	Para 294	
		<u>5May16</u>			necessary.		
19.	NFF	<u>Sub-14Apr16</u>	7.4		Apprentices	Page 5	Revised wording
					Language requires updating due to		supplied
					vocational education reform		
	AMWU	ReplySub-			It appears there have been changes to the	Page 2	
		<u>5May16</u>			vocational education and training		
					delivery structure and this affects many		
					awards and should be dealt with		
					consistently. Additionally appears that		
					Australian Industry and Skills Committee		

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					may be relevant body but this may require further clarification.		
20.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	7.5, Schedule H		Apprentices Reference to 'National Skills Standards Council' should be changed to 'Ministerial Council for Tertiary Education and Employment'	Page 6-7	
21.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	10.2(c)	29.2(c)	In response to: Parties are asked to comment on how clause 10.2(c) interacts with clause 25.2(b) and what the correct rate is for field sector employees working on Sundays Clause 10.2(c) states the payment when ordinary time is worked on a Saturday and Sunday – i.e. T1/2. Clause 25.2(b) states the payment when working on rosters days off being Saturday and Sunday – T1/2 and DT payment applies. Party submits clear difference between the two clauses however additional wording is required at 25.2(b) to clarify that all payment applies to overtime.	Page 2	
	ABI	Sub-15Apr16			Submits that the two clauses envisage the payment of different penalties in different circumstances. While 10.2(c) concerns ordinary time worked on	Para 20.5 and 20.6 page 19	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					Saturdays and Sundays, clause 25.2(b)		
					deals with overtime worked on a Sunday.		
					This is clear from the structure and		
					location at which the clause is located		
					within the award.		
					Supports proposal to amend the words		
					"all work" to "overtime work" in clause		
					25.2(b).		
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			Overtime provisions in clause 25 do not	Para 44-52	Proposed wording
					apply until an employee has worked 152	page 6 and 7	supplied.
					hours over a 4 week period. Ordinary		
					time worked on Saturday and Sunday		
					paid in accordance with 10.2(c).		
					Overtime worked on Saturday and		
					Sunday paid in accordance with clause		
					25.2 Field sector employees are paid		
					under 10.2(c) for all time worked on		
					Saturday and Sunday until they have		
					worked 152 hours over 4 weeks. From		
					this time on they are entitled to be paid		
					according to clause 25.		
					Proposed clause 25 should be amended.		
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			Submits that it appears that cl 10.2(c)	Para 19, page 3	
					specifies the rate for ordinary hours of		
					work for field sector employees on the		
					weekend as opposed to clause 25.2(b).		
	NFF	Reply-sub-			Relies on initial submission in response	Para 13 page 3	
		<u>5May16</u>			to AWU.		
	AMWU	ReplySub-			Supports AWU position though notes	Page 2	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
		<u>5May16</u>			that 25.2(b) also applies to non-field workers and thus substantive provision of 25.2(b) should not be changed by any narrowing to only specify overtime such as that put by ABI.		
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC and ABI &NSWBC - Accepts that clause 10.2(c) refers to ordinary time rates and clause 25.2 to overtime.	Para 5 page 2	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub – 6May16			Oppose AWU position and relies on para 20.5 of 15 April 2016 submissions.	Page 11, para 20.0	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			AIG does not consider there to be a conflict between clauses 10.2(c) and clause 25.2(b). AIG would not oppose amendment sought by ABI or NFF.	Paras 295 – 296	
22.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	10.2(d)(iii)		Hours of work Second dot point should become two separate dot points.	Page 6	Proposed formatting supplied
	AIG	Sub-14Apr16			Second dot point should be separated into two distinct dot points	Para 420-421	Proposed formatting supplied
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agrees with amendments proposed by AIG.	Para 14 page 3	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Does not oppose additional bullet point	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Agrees with amendments proposed by AIG	Para 37 page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure	CLAUSE (current	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
22	A XX / T /	0.1.174.16	draft)	award)	T 0 1 (1 (1 (1)	D 20 2	
23.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	10.3(d)		Hours of work – other than field sector	Para 20, page 3	
					– work outside spread		
					Current wording of cl captures work		
					outside the span even if the employee has		
					already performed in excess of 38 hours		
					per week. More standard provisions		
					found in Manufacturing and Associated		
					Industries and Occupations Award 2010		
					and Concrete Products Award 2010		
	ABI&NS	Reply sub –			Oppose change sought by AWU. Not	Page 11, para	
	WBC	6May16			clear what effect any change to the	20.3	
					wording of this clause would have.		
	AIG	Reply sub –	1		AWU's proposal should be subject to	Para 297	
		5May16			discussion between interested parties		
					during any future conferences.		
24.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	10.3(e)(iii)		Notice of rostered days off	Para 422	
					Second dot point should be separated		
					into two distinct dot points		
	NFF	Reply-sub-			Agrees with amendment proposed by	Para 15 page 3	
		5May16			AIG		
	AWU	ReplySub-			No opposed to the suggested addition of	Para 21 page 4	
		5May16			an extra dot point.	Para 37 page 5	
					1		
	AIG	Reply sub –	1		Change proposed by NFF should be	Para 298	
		5May16			made.		
25.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	11.1(a)	30.1(a)	Meal breaks	Paras 423-424	
			. ,		Clause should be amended to read		
					'between 30 and 60 minutes'		

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Does not agree with the views of AIG – clause should remain as is.	Para 16 page 3	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16	-		Does not agree with AIGs interpretation and does not think amendment necessary	Para 38 page 5	
26.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	11.1(a), (c)-(e)	30.1(a)	Meal breaks Exposure draft does not make clear that the provisions only apply to day workers, and not shiftworkers.	Para 425	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agrees with AIG submission that these clauses could be restructured to make clear that they do not apply to shiftworkers	Para 17 page 3	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – accepts these provisions are confined to day workers under clause e30.1 and are not opposed to this being clarified in the ED.	Para 39 page 5	
27.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	11.1(c)	30.1(a)	Meal breaks The Exposure Draft, however, deviates from the current clause by requiring that the entire break be taken within the first five hours.	Paras 426-427	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agrees with AIG submission that Ed contains substantive change. Wording in current award should be retained	Para 18 page 3	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Not opposed to current MA words being inserted.	Para 40 page 5	
28.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	11.1(d)		Meal breaks "Minimum hourly rate" should be replaced with "applicable rate of pay". If change not made, some employees performing ordinary hours	Para 21, page 3	
		Reply-sub- 5May16			Does not agree with AWU proposal.	Para 19 page 3	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub – 6May16			Oppose AWU proposal as this would be a substantive change to content of current award.	Page 11, para 20.4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Strongly opposes AWU's proposed amendment. Submits proposed definition is inherently problematic and will result in a substantive change to entitlements. Further, proposal would require the application of a relevant penalty on a rate already incorporating other penalties and loadings.	Paras 299 – 301	
30.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	11.1 and 11.2		Meal breaks and crib breaks The break for a shift worker is referred to as a "meal" break in cl 11.1 and a "crib" break in cl 11.2. It would be preferable to consistently use the term "meal" throughout.	Para 22, page 3	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			AWU submission to replace 'crib' with 'meal' is not appropriate as they are different substantive concepts.	Para 20 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Not opposed to AIG's proposal.	Para 302	
31.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	11.5(c)	15	In response to: Parties are asked to clarify whether the award should provide an alternative to the employer supplied overtime meal for the field sector. As the award is currently drafted, the milling, distillery, refinery and maintenance and bulk sugar terminal operations sectors provide a meal allowance as an alternative to the provision of a meal. Party has proposed new wording to be inserted "after their scheduled hours of work"	Page 3	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			Insertion of a meal allowance in lieu of	Page 6	
					provision of a meal is not opposed.		
	ABI&NS	<u>Sub-15Apr16</u>			Insertion of a meal allowance as an	Para 20.7	
	WBC				alternative is supported.		
	AWU	<u>Sub-17Apr16</u>			Don't believe a meal allowance should	Para 23, page 4	
					be inserted unless a party raises a		
					problem with the current provision. The		
					introduction of a meal allowance may		
					disrupt long-existing arrangements for		
					the provision of meals on sugar farms.		
	NFF	Reply-sub-			Do not agree with AWU submission and	Para 22 page 4	
		<u>5May16</u>			relies on initial submission in response.		
	AWU	ReplySub-			In response to ASMC, NFF and	Para 6 page 2	
		<u>5May16</u>			ABI&NSWBC- Not necessarily opposed		
					to the insertion of a meal allowance for		
					field sector employees but it should first		
					be confirmed that this provision has not		
					deliberately been omitted in favour of the		
					provision of a meal.		
	ABI&NS	Reply sub			Disagree with AWU and note the ability	Page 11, para	
	WBC	<u>6May16</u>			to provide a meal allowance instead of a	20.5	
					meal in the field sector would achieve		
					the modern awards objective.		
	AIG	Reply sub –			Does not oppose the view of other	Para 303	
		<u>5May16</u>			employer parties but notes that the		
					existing quantum of meal allowance		
					provided by bulk terminal employers is		
					different to the allowance provided by		
					distillery, milling and refining employers.		

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
32.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	11.5(c)	30.3	Meal breaks on overtime Structure of clause has been altered in such a way that it expands the eligibility of the meal allowance.	Para 428-429	
		Reply-sub- 5May16			Agrees with AIG that this is a substantive change	Para 21 page 4	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – not opposed to amendment on the basis it will reflect clause 30.3 of the award.	Para 41 page 6	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub 6May16			Support AIG that this is a substantive issue and submits either amalgamating clauses 11.5(b) and (c) or insert additional wording "If the employee called out to work overtime is not notified"	Page 12, 20.6	
33.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	12.2	38.3	Single contract hourly rate New clause should be inserted to clarify the interaction of the 115% minimum hourly rate with any hours worked that may otherwise attract a shift loading.	Paras 430-431	Proposed wording supplied
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agree with AIG that clause should be revised.	Para 23 page 4	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – accept AIG proposal reflects clause 38.3 of the award. However reference to clause 20.1(a) should be amended to clause 12.2	Para 42 page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub 6May16			Support AIG and note the proposed wording was the result of a content variation approved by the FWC as part of the Modern Awards Review 2012.	Page 12, para 20.7	
34.	FWO	<u>Corro-</u> <u>02/03/15</u>	12.3	20.2(a)	Piecework Rate Query whether the calculation of the minimum piece rate for employees performing work during penalty hours should take into account penalty rates in the Award, and if so, how these and the additional 20% piecework loading interact.	Item 36 page 9	
35.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	12.3(d)		Piecework Reference to clause 12.1 should be to clause 12	Paras 432-433	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Suggests AIG concern could be resolved by including reference to clauses 12.3 and 12.4 in clause 12.3(d)	Para 24 page 4	Proposed wording supplied.
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – not opposed to this amendment	Para 43 page 6	
36.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	12.3(e)		Piecework Reference to clause 12.1 should be to clause 12	Paras 434-435	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Suggests AIG concern could be resolved by including reference to clauses 12.3 and 12.4 in clause 12.3(d)	Para 24 page 4	Proposed wording supplied.

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	ReplySub-			In response to AIG – not opposed to this	Para 43 page	
		<u>5May16</u>			amendment	b6	
37.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	13.1(a)	21.2	Work in water and cleaning drains Clause should be amended to specify that allowance is only payable for the time engaged in such work.	Paras 436-437	Proposed wording supplied
	AWU	ReplySub-			In response to AIG – not opposed to	Para 44 page 6	
		<u>5May16</u>			amendment.		
38.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	14.1-2, 17.1-2, 20.1-2		Payment of wages May be sensible to inert a single version of this clause so as to avoid duplication	Page 6	
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			Provisions are currently replicated, and should instead appear in Part 7—Other wage related provisions. The words "ordinary hours" should be deleted and replaced with "according to the actual hours worked each week".	Pages 1-2 and para 24 page 4	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Duplication of entitlements should be avoided in the ED. Does not agree with AWU that the words "ordinary hours" should be deleted from clauses 14.1, 17.1 and 20.1	Para 26 and 27 page 4	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – relies on submission dated 17 April 2016	Para 23 page 4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not consider proposed AWU amendment is necessary but does not oppose AWU's suggestion that clauses need only appear once in Part 7 – Other Wage Related Provisions.	Para 305	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
39.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	14.3		Higher duties Replaced 'highest' with 'higher' wherever used.	Page 6	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose NFF's submission.	Para 307	
40.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	14.3, 17.5		Provisions are currently replicated, and should instead appear in Part 7—Other wage related provisions.	Page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU suggestion.	Para 304	
41.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	15.4(d)	40.4(d)	In response to: Parties are asked to clarify if junior employees receive only the relevant percentage of wage and/or expense related allowances in clause 16. Sugar pays according to the job actually being done. Junior wage or expense related allowances are not paid.	Page 3	
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			It is not appropriate for junior employees to only receive a proportion and the cl should be amended to clarify that junior employees receive the full allowance rates.	Para 25 page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Supports proposition that where juniors undertake work that entitles them to an allowance, they receive that at the full	Page 1	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					rate.		
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose view of ASMC in respect of juniors and allowances.	Para 308	
42.	AMWU	Sub-02/02/15	16 and 19	22.30 and/or 23.3	Tool Allowance Propose insertion of tool allowance for apprentices. This could be done by creating a separate entitlement for apprentices or by amending current entitlement to apply to apprentices.	Para 3	See also Transitional Review decision:[2013] FWCFB 9295 at paras 14–17
43.	AWU ABI&NS	Sub-17Apr16 Reply sub	16.1(c)		Allowances – bagasse bins Submits that the rate should be 200% of the "applicable rate of pay". Oppose AWU proposal as this would be	Para 26 page 4 Page 11, para	
	WBC	6May16			a substantive change to content of current award.	20.4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Opposes introduction of "applicable rate of pay". AWU's proposal would require the application of allowance to a rate that incorporates penalties and loadings.	Paras 309 – 311	
44.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	16.1(t)		Allowances – hot work Submits that the rate should be 200% of the "applicable rate of pay".	Para 27 page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub 6May16			Oppose AWU proposal as this would be a substantive change to content of current award.	Page 11, para 20.4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Opposes introduction of terminology and clause should not be amended.	Para 313 – 315	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	<u>Sub-17Apr16</u>			"Member of the gang" appears clear. "Spelling time" may require clarification.	Para 28, page 4	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Supports proposal that "spelling time" is replaced by "recovery time" and that "gang" is replaced by "crew".	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC - Not opposed to amendments suggested	Para 7 page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose amendments suggested by ASMC.	Para 316	
47.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	16.1(t)(iv)	22.18	In response to: It is unclear what allowances are not payable when this allowance is paid. Parties are asked to specify the clauses that do not apply when this allowance applies. Party has provided a list of allowances in their submission that are not payable when this allowance is paid.	Page 3	
	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16			List of allowances not payable supplied.	Para 20.9	
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			It is not clear which other allowances are excluded based upon the current wording. Cl should be redrafted.	Para 29	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC and ABI&NSWBC - Allowances not payable are 16.1(d), 16.1(m), 16.1(aa) and 16.1(dd)	Para 8 page 2	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
48.	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16	16.1(v)(iii		In response to: Parties are asked to clarify whether the term "in addition to the rates prescribed" refers to the allowances in 16.1(v)(i) and (ii) or the employee's hourly rate. Refers to the allowance of \$0.66 set out in clause 16.1(v)(iv)	Para 20.10	
	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16			The wording "in addition to the rates prescribed" should be added to clauses 16.1(v)(i) and 16.1(v)(ii)	Page 3	
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			The reference to "in addition to the rates prescribed" appears intended to clarify this is an additional amount not affected by the payment of any other rates.	Para 30 page 4	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC - Not opposed to the amendments suggested.	Para 9 page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AMSC's proposed amendments.	Para 317	
49.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	16.1(dd)		Allowances – work in rain Submits that the rate should be 200% of the "applicable rate of pay".	Para 31 page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub 6May16			Oppose AWU proposal as this would be a substantive change to content of current award.		
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Opposes introduction of terminology.	Paras 318 – 320	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
50.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	17.3(c)	27.3(c)	In response to: Parties are asked to clarify the effect of clauses 17.3(b) and (c) in respect of the hourly rates defined in Schedule D.2, which are based on a 38 hour week. The hourly pay rates defined in Schedule D.2 are calculated on a 38 hourly divisor hence are applicable to those employees deemed to be seasonal as in clause 17.3 (b) – 38 hour divisor. Pay rates for employees on 40 and 36 divisors are not accommodated.	Page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	<u>Sub-15Apr16</u>			The hourly rates set out at Schedule D.2 doesn't contemplate method of payment set out at 17.3 since they are based on a 38 hour week only. This does not reflect the correct pay rate for non-seasonal employees during nominal slack season or the applicable pay rate for all employees during nominal crushing season.	Para 20.11-12	
	AIG	Sub-14Apr16			Clauses 17.3(b) and (c) have not been accommodated in the Schedule D.2, as the rates there prescribed are based on a 38 hour week. A clear notation should be inserted that the Schedule D.2 hourly rates do not necessarily apply, with references to the aforementioned clauses.	Para 438	

PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
ABI&NS WBC	ReplySub- 5May16 Reply sub 6May16			In response to ASMC - It appears the weekly rate in clause 15.1 needs to be used for the calculations involving a divisor of 36 or 40 as opposed to the hourly rates in Schedule D.2. In response to ABI&NSWBC – not opposed to the inclusion of additional rate schedules. History of provisions can be traced to Sugar Milling Industry Award 2005 (Qld) (Milling NAPSA). Clauses 17.3, 26.9(a) and 26.10(a) replicate those in the	Para 10 page 2 Para 33 page 5 Page 12, Paras 20.08 – 20.11	
AIG	Sub-14Apr16	17.4		make further submissions. Absences from duty under an averaging system Clause should be relocated so that it	Para 439	
AWU	Sub-17Apr16 Reply-sub- 5May16			applies to all employees. Payment of wages – absences from duty under an averaging system In addition to this clause seemingly applying to all sectors, the practical effect of the provision is unclear. Notes submission of AWU. It is clear this clause only applies in relation to Part 5 of the Award (that is, milling,	Para 32 page 5 Para 28 page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC AWU	AWU ReplySub- 5May16 ABI&NS Reply sub 6May16 AIG Sub-14Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 NFF Reply-sub-	AWU ReplySub- 5May16 ABI&NS Reply sub 6May16 AIG Sub-14Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 NFF Reply-sub-	AWU ReplySub- 5May16 Replysub 6May16 AIG Sub-14Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 NFF Reply-sub-	AWU ReplySub- 5May16 ReplySub- 5May16 ReplySub- 5May16 Replysub- 5May16 Reply sub- 6May16 Reply sub- 7Apr16 Reply sub- 7Apr16 Reply-sub- 5May16 Reply-sub- 5May16	AWU Replysub- SMay16 ABI&NS WBC AIG Sub-14Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU AWU Sub-17Apr16 AWU AWU AWU AWU AWU AWU AWU AW

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – agrees and refers to initial submissions on 17 April 2016 at [8].	Para 46 page 6	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Substantial change and clause should be relocated to Part 7 – Other Wage Related Provisions. Party supports retention of clause 17.4 and disagrees with AWU about its unclear practical effect.	Paras 321 -322	
52.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	17.4(c)	27.4(c)	In response to: Clause 17.4(c) is currently drafted "will incur a proportion of the debit for the day, based upon the proportion of the working day that the employee was in attendance". Should it be drafted, as below, where the proportion of the debit is based on the proportion of the day the employee was NOT in attendance? Party has provided amendment in submission.	Page 4	
	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16			The current drafting of the exposure draft is correct.	Para 20.13	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In reply to ASMC and ABI&NSWBC – the current terminology is unclear. Clause may be improved if an hourly system is used as opposed to days.	Para 11 page 2 Para 34 page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – don't accept that overtime will only be payable after an employee has worked 152 hours over a 4 week period. The ordinary hours have to be fixed under clause 10.2(c) and hours in addition to these will be overtime, even if the 152 hours over a 4 week period has not been worked. This is confirmed by cl 25.1(c)	Para 24 page 4	
54.	AIG	Sub-14Apr16	25.4(a)		Length of rest period Reinstate current wording: phrase 'where possible' should be replaced with 'reasonably practicable'.	Para 440	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to AIG – not opposed to an amendment to reflect clause 31.4(a) of the award.	Para 47 page 6	
55.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	26.2		Shiftwork definitions Definition of "shift worker" should be deleted as it creates ambiguity with the provisions which follow because they are not confined to employees working in a 24/7 continuous operation. The definition appears more directed at the entitlement to an additional week of annual leave as per clause 27.2	Para 33 page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Opposes AWU's suggested removal of definition and it would amount to a substantial change to award.	Para 323	
56.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	26.5(b)		Afternoon and night shift allowances – other than field sector Cl seems to prohibit the working of continuous night shifts. If this is not the case, a loading of 30% should apply. Reference to 30% shift loading being paid "instead of any other shift allowance" appears intended to be confined to the 15% loading which would otherwise apply.	Para 34 page 5	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Notes submission of AWU and considers that this clause does not prohibit the working of continuous night shift in the absence of a specific reference to them.	Para 29 page 4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Disagrees with AWU.	Para 324	
57.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	26.9	32.9	In response to: Clause 26.9 provides that the roster system may be approved by the Fair Work Commission. Is there a legislative mechanism that provides for this? There are recent changes to the FWA where if there is major change, employees who are impacted by the roster change are invited to give their views regarding the impact of the change	Page 4	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					(including any impact in relation to their		
					family or caring responsibilities).		
	ABI&NS	<u>Sub-15Apr16</u>			Party not aware of any express legislative	Para 20.14	
	WBC				mechanism which would confer a power		
					on the Commission to approve a roster		
					system, save perhaps for consent		
					arbitration under clause 35.5 of the		
	1				exposure draft.	20 1	
	NFF	Reply-sub-			The FWC has power to approve a roster	Para 30 page 4	
		<u>5May16</u>			system through a consent arbitration under s.595(3) or 739(4) of the FW Act		
	AWU	ReplySub-			In response to ABI&NSWBC – if the	Para 35 page 5	
		<u>5May16</u>			word "approved" is changed to		
					"determined" it appears there would be		
					jurisdiction for the Commission to		
					resolve the matter under s.739 of the Act.		
	ABI&NS	Reply sub			History of provisions can be traced to	Page 12, Paras	
	WBC	<u>6May16</u>			Sugar Milling Industry Award 2005	20.08 - 20.11	
					(Qld) (Milling NAPSA). Clauses 17.3,		
					26.9(a) and 26.10(a) replicate those in		
					the Milling NAPSA. Party reserved right		
					to make further submissions.		
57A	ABI&NS	Reply sub	26.10(a)		Nominal slack season – shiftwork	Page 12, paras	
	WBC	<u>6May16</u>			History of provisions can be traced to	20.08 - 20.11	
					Sugar Milling Industry Award 2005		
					(Qld) (Milling NAPSA). Clauses 17.3,		
					26.9(a) and 26.10(a) replicate those in		
					the Milling NAPSA. Party reserved right		
					to make further submissions.		

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
58.	AWU	<u>Sub-17Apr16</u>	26.10(g)		Nominal slack season – shiftwork The 20% figure is used instead of "one fifth" in other parts of the ED and should be inserted here.	Para 35 page 5	
	NFF	Reply-sub- 5May16			Agree the figure should be 20% instead of "one fifth"	Para 31 page 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU's suggested amendment	Para 325	
59.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	27.6(c)	33.6(c)	In response to: Parties are asked whether clause 27.6(c) requires clarification. This provision appears to have been taken from AN140048 - Bulk Terminals Award - State 2003. Further clarification is required around the option being offered to convert 5 travel days to an additional 2% employer's superannuation contribution for theterm of their employment. Eg are the 5 days converted annually; timing of conversion;	Page 4	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
	AWU	Sub-17Apr16			Agree the effect of this provision needs to be clarified with reference to the predecessor instrument.	Para 36 page 5	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ASMC – agrees the effect of the provision needs to be clarified.	Para 12 page 2	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Should clause 27.6(c) be amended pursuant to the AWU's submission, AIG requests parties be given an opportunity to review and provide comments.	Para 326	
60.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	33.5 (f)		Redundancy – Bulk terminal employees The reference to "severance payments as set out in clause 32 – Termination of Employment" should be amended to "notice payments"	Para 37 page 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU amendment.	Para 327	
61.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	35.6	9.6	In response to: Clause 35.6 differs from the standard wording in that it says "safe and legal" instead of "safe and appropriate". Parties are asked whether this different wording should be maintained. Recommend the wording "safe and legal" is maintained. Legal – authorised/lawful/permitted Appropriate – suitable/correct/fitting	Page 4	
	NFF	Sub-14Apr16			NFF supports an approach where no change is made to the wording of the award	Page 7	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
					if a change would alter the meaning of the term.		
	ABI&NS WBC	Sub-15Apr16			The standard wording of 'safe and appropriate' should be adopted.	Para 20.15	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Supports ABI submission - consistent wording of "safe and appropriate".	Page 1	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to ABI&NSWBC – agree to amendment proposed.	Para 36 page 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose ASMC and NFF.	Para 328	
62.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	Schedule D		Columns dealing with Saturday and Sunday overtime rates should be deleted. Alternatively, they should be amended to make clear that the rates only apply after the 152 hours in 4 weeks has been exceeded.	Page 7	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In reply to NFF – does not agree that overtime will only be payable after an employee has worked 152 hours over a 4 week period. The ordinary hours have to be fixed under clause 10.2(a) and hours in addition to these will be overtime, even if the 152 hours over a 4 week period has not been worked. This is confirmed by cl 25.1 (c) of the ED.	Para 25 page 4	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU's amendment	Para 329	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
63.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	Schedule D.3.1		Summary of hourly rates of pay – Bulk terminal operation employees Suggests a footnote is required for the 150% and 200% rates indicating these are paid for work outside the span of ordinary hours.	Para 38 page 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose AWU's proposal that a footnote be added.	Para 330	
64.	AWU	Sub-17Apr16	Schedule D.3.2		Summary of hourly rates of pay – Bulk terminal operation employees The heading does not identify these are shift rates and the table does not contain higher rates payable for shifts on the weekend by bulk terminal operators.	Para 39 page 5	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Does not oppose reference to shift work as referred by the AWU.	Para 331	
65.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	H.3.3	Schedule D.3.3	In response to: Parties are asked to identify "any training program which applies to the same occupation and achieves essentially the same training outcome as an existing apprenticeship in an award as at 25 June 1997" that they consider should not be covered by this Schedule. No training programs identified.	Page 5	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
66.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	H.7	Schedule D1.1	In response to: Parties are asked to review the packages listed to ensure the lists are complete and up-to-date Reviewed and listed are complete and up-to-date.	Page 5	
	NFF	<u>Sub-14Apr16</u>			Training packages listed are complete and up to date.	Page 8	
67.	ASMC	Sub-10Mar16	Schedule I	3	In response to: Parties are to consider whether the terminology in clauses 3.2(b)–(e), regarding the different sectors, should be consistent with the definitions in Schedule I—Definitions. Party responds in the same way to Item 1 of summary.	Page 5	
68.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	Schedule I		Location of definitions Definitions should appear in the body of the award, not a schedule at the end.	Page 3	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – AWU is satisfied with approach of having definitions as a Schedule and does not believe any amendment necessary.	Para 14 page 3	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			This is the approach adopted by the Commission in all Exposure Drafts. And party does not identify a difficulty arising from this.	Para 333	
69.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	Schedule I		Definitions Definitions present in the award have been selectively included in the schedule.	Page 3	

ITEM	PARTY	DOCUMENT	CLAUSE (exposure draft)	CLAUSE (current award)	SUMMARY OF ISSUE	THEIR REFERENCE	NOTES
70.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	Schedule I		Definitions 'Minimum hourly wage' is defined but 'minimum hourly rate' is also frequently used.	Page 3	
71.	NFF	Sub-14Apr16	Schedule I		Definitions The standard rate is of limited relevance and should be removed.	Page 3	
	AMWU	ReplySub- 5May16			Opposes removing the standard rate from the award. Whilst supports the inclusion of dollar figures throughout the award, the mechanism of the standard rate provides nexus between certain payments and the award rate of pay	Page 2	
	AWU	ReplySub- 5May16			In response to NFF – References to "standard rate" have been replaced with dollar amounts throughout the ED. It appears sensible to retain definition of the "standard rate" as a historical benchmark regarding how the amounts have been calculated.	Para 15 page 3	
	ABI&NS WBC	Reply sub 6May16			In response to NFF – clauses 15.3, E.1.1, E.1.2 and E.2.2 are calculated by reference to the standard rate.	Pages 12-13, Para 20.12	
	AIG	Reply sub – 5May16			Disagree with NFF. Various allowances in award are calculated by reference to standard rate.	Paras 332, 334 - 337	

List of abbreviations (in alphabetical order)

ABI&NSWBC Australian Business Industrial and the New

South Wales Business Chamber

AIG Australian Industry Group

ASMC Australian Sugar Milling Council

AWU Australian Workers' Union

FWO Office of the Fair Work Ombudsman

NFF National Farmers' Federation